MOREHEAD STATE UNIVERSITY

QUARTERLY BOARD MEETING

August 18, 2023 Adron Doran University Center (ADUC) Room 329 9:30 a.m.



MOREHEAD STATE UNIVERSITY QUARTERLY BOARD MEETING

August 18, 2023 at 9:30 a.m. Adron Doran University Center Room 329

Morehead State University

Board of Regents Members

Eric Howard, Chair

Sanford Holbrook, Vice Chair

Rhonda Blackburn

Presley Boyer

Adam Hinton

John Holbrook

Wayne Martin

Dr. Joel Pace

Craig Preece

Dr. Joyce Stubbs

Arthur Walker, III

Joseph A. (Jay) Morgan, President

MOREHEAD STATE UNIVERSITY BOARD OF REGENTS QUARTERLY MEETING

Adron Doran University Center (ADUC), Room 329
Friday, August 18, 2023
9:00 a.m.—Light Breakfast and Individual Photos As Regents Arrive
9:15 a.m. – Board Group Photo
9:30 a.m. – Quarterly Board Meeting
12:00 p.m.— Lunch
12:30 p.m.— Campus Tour
1:15 p.m.— New Regent Orientation

AGENDA

PRE-MEETING ACTIVITIES—9:00 a.m. to 9:30 a.m.

- COFFEE, LIGHT BREAKFAST, AND INDIVIDUAL PHOTOS—starting at 9:00 a.m.
- BOARD GROUP PHOTO—9:15 a.m. (Please wear professional attire and MSU colors if possible.)

QUARTERLY BOARD MEETING – 9:30 a.m.

- I. CALL TO ORDER
- II. ROLL CALL
- III. OATH OF OFFICE ADMINISTERED TO NEW REGENTS, Judge David Barber
 - Ms. Presley Boyer, Student Regent
 - Dr. Joyce Stubbs, Faculty Regent
 - Mr. John Holbrook, Appointee
 - Ms. Rhonda Blackburn, Appointee
- IV. ELECTION OF CHAIR, VICE CHAIR AND SECRETARY, APPOINT TREASURER
- V. FACULTY, STAFF AND STUDENT RECOGNITION
- VI. PRESIDENT'S RECOMMENDATIONS & REPORTS
 - A. Consent Agenda (Action)

1. Approve Minutes of June 15, 2023 Quarterly Board Meeting	3
2. Approve Athletic Director and Coaching Contracts	15
3. Approve Revisions to Student Code of Conduct	16
4. Approve OVC Governing Board Certification	38
5. Approve 2023-2024 Operating Budget	40

B.	Reports and Presentations1. Personal Service Contracts
C.	Recommendations (Action) 1. Approve Asset Preservation Projects: Nunn Hall, Ginger Hall, and Laughlin Health Building
D.	President's Report Output Update on University Bond Sale University Initiatives 2023-2024 Feasibility Study for New Residential Hall

OTHER BUSINESS

VII.

VIII. CLOSED EXECUTIVE SESSION—11:15 a.m.

The Closed Executive Session is called pursuant to KRS 61.810 (1) (c) to discuss matters relating to proposed or pending litigation against or on behalf of the University. The Board will take no action while in Closed Executive Session.

<u>LUNCH</u> – 12:00 p.m.

CAMPUS TOUR BY BUS - 12:30 p.m.

NEW REGENT ORIENTATION – 1:15-3:15 p.m. ADUC Room 329.

Required for new regents. All regents welcome.

Agenda is available online at http://www.moreheadstate.edu/bor

Page 2 of 2

BOARD OF REGENTS MOREHEAD STATE UNIVERSITY QUARTERLY MEETING

JUNE 15, 2023

CALL TO ORDER

ROLL CALL

FACULTY, STAFF AND STUDENT RECOGNITION The Board of Regents of Morehead State University met on Thursday, June 15, 2023 at 9:30 a.m. in Room 329 of the Adron Doran University Center on the campus of Morehead State University. Chair Howard called the meeting to order.

Chair Howard recognized Acting General Counsel Jane Fitzpatrick to call the roll. The following regents were present: Chair Eric Howard, Vice Chair Sanford Holbrook, Dr. Annie Adams, Debbie Long, Chloe Marstiller, Wayne Martin, Dr. Joel Pace, Craig Preece, and Arthur Walker, III. Quorum was established. Regent Adam Hinton arrived after the faculty and staff recognitions.

Vice Chair Holbrook moved, and Staff Regent Pace seconded, that Jane Fitzpatrick serve as acting board secretary for the duration of Jessica Stigall's leave. The motion passed unanimously.

Chair Howard recognized President Morgan for the faculty, staff, and student recognitions. President Morgan recognized Dr. Joyce Stubbs as incoming Faculty Regent. Outgoing Provost Tony Norman was recognized and incoming interim Provost Raj Parikh was introduced. Incoming Interim Associate Provost Chris Schroeder was introduced, who will take over for outgoing Associate Provost Laurie Couch, soon to become Provost for an institution out of state. President Morgan recognized retiring Professor Greg Wing for the faculty recognition. Professor Wing is a nationally-recognized trumpeter. Dr. Morgan thanked him for his many years working with the MSU Gala, and for his service as a Professor in the Department of Music. Professor Wing has gathered many accolades during his service at MSU. His wife, Paula Wing, was also present and recognized. Professor Wing is an alumnus of Morehead State and he thanked everyone present for the opportunity to teach and serve his alma mater for many years. Head Women's Golf Coach Stephanie Barker was recognized. Coach Barker has been named the OVC Coach of the Year two times, LPGA Midwest Coach of the Year for 2019, and players on her teams have garnered All American Academic Awards many times. She thanked the Board of Regents for the honor of working with the student athletes in Women's Golf. Head Baseball Coach Mik Aoki was also recognized. Men's Baseball won the OVC Regular Season Championship Title for the first time since 1979, and player Riley Preece was named OVC Player of the Year. Coach Aoki thanked the Board for their support of the MSU Baseball team.

PRESIDENT'S RECOMMENDATIONS AND REPORTS

CONSENT AGENDA

Chair Howard called on President Morgan to review the items listed on the Consent Agenda:

- 1. Approve Minutes of March 30, 2023 Board Meeting
- 2. Ratify Personnel Actions
- 3. Approve Changes to University Policies
- 4. Approve Revisions to University Police Manual
- 5. Approve Faculty Promotions
- 6. Approve Faculty Emeritus Status
- 7. Ratify Spring 2023 Graduates
- 8. Approve Graduate Degree Program Revision
- 9. Approve Coaches' Contracts
- 10. Approve University Organizational Chart
- 11. Approve Founders' Day Award
- 12. Approve Investment of Endowment Match
- 13. Approve Kentucky Deferred Compensation Resolution

Selection of Mr. Jerry Gore as the recipient of the 2023 Founders Award. President Morgan briefly commented on the items. He noted item 11, wherein the late Jerry Gore was named as the 2023 MSU Founders Award recipient. Chair Howard praised the selection of Mr. Gore and noted his many years of devoted service to the MSU community. Regent Martin also spoke highly of Mr. Gore's service to the university.

MOTION: Regent Hinton moved the adoption of the consent agenda. Vice Chair Holbrook seconded.

VOTE: The motion passed unanimously.

RECOMMENDATIONS

ITEM IV-B-1: UNIVERSITY INVESTMENT POLICY Chair Howard called on President Morgan to individually introduce the recommendation action items.

THE PRESIDENT RECOMMENDED: that the Board of Regents adopt the university investment policy. This item had been introduced at the previous board meeting for preliminary review and discussion. There being no questions or discussion, Chair Howard called for a vote.

MOTION: Vice Chair Holbrook moved, and Regent Martin seconded, the adoption of the university investment policy.

VOTE: All regents voted in favor of the motion, and the motion passed.

ITEM IV-B-2 THIRD QUARTER FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND RATIFICATION OF THE AMENDED OPERATING BUDGET THE PRESIDENT RECOMMENDED: that the Board of Regents Approve the Third Quarter Financial Statements and Ratify the Amended Operating Budget, Item IV-B-2.

MOTION: Regent Art Walker moved, upon recommendation of the President, the approval of the second quarter financial statements and ratification of the amended operating budget. Regent Long seconded.

VOTE: The motion passed by unanimous vote.

ITEM IV-B-3 2023-2024 OPERATING BUDGET, FEE SCHEDULE AND PERSONNEL ROSTER The President called on Vice President Mary Fister-Tucker for a presentation on the 2023-2024 Operating Budget, Fee Schedule, and Personnel Roster.

General overview of the 2023-2024 Operating Budget, Fee Schedule and Personnel Roster Vice President Fister-Tucker noted that the MSU operating budget is in support of the MSU Strategic Plan, SOAR, and follows a conservative approach that prioritizes student affordability, performance funding metrics, and monitoring of the current economic climate.

in 2022-2023. State appropriations make up about 30% of the budget, which largely accounts for the increase from 2022-2023. Vice President Fister-Tucker provided an overview of the state appropriations for debt service, special funding for the satellite project, and changes to the KERS system due to legislative action. Funding for the new science and engineering building was discussed, and asset preservation funding was reviewed.

The 2023-2024 Operating Budget totals \$143 Million, up from \$140 Million

Budgeting Strategies

Vice President Fister-Tucker discussed fund balance procedures. Budgeting for Tuition and Fees income dropped slightly for 2023-2024 as the university continues to see some effects from COVID-19; the drop is largely a result from a reduction in the retention rate, something the university has undertaken significant steps to improve in coming years. Other sources of income have increased, largely due to the university's investment approach, which is paying more interest income than was originally projected. Auxiliary enterprise income has increased; this is due in part to some changes in the way scholarships are structured to incentivize living on campus. This has resulted in increased housing income. The retention rate for students who live on campus is higher. Finally, work on budgeting for fixed cost increases in an inflationary environment has received considerable time and effort.

Question About Enrollment And Retention Rates State-Wide Regent Hinton asked about whether or not similar declines in enrollment and retention had occurred state wide, and whether or not the university was monitoring the decline in population in Eastern Kentucky. Vice President Fister-Tucker replied yes, on all counts. Vice Chair Holbrook noted that state wide, K-12 school systems have seen similar effects with very few school systems seeing growth. Regent Adams noted that the state flagship and state urban research university have seen increases in enrollment, but that statewide, regional universities have been facing the brunt of population decline.

Discussion Of Recruitment Strategies

Vice President Fister-Tucker noted the expansion of recruitment efforts in Ohio, Tennessee, and West Virginia. Regent Walker asked about incentives for out-of-state students. Vice President Fister-Tucker noted that there were several reciprocity agreements in place for surrounding states. Vice President for Student Affairs also commented on recruitment efforts that focused on increasing enrollment and maximizing scholarship resources.

President Morgan further discussed recruitment efforts and how the university partners with third-party vendors for demographic data and contact lists for strategic locations. He noted that calibrating the scholarship offers in key areas was important to make Morehead State's pricing competitive.

Vice Chair Holbrook asked about university policies surrounding ACT scores, and President Morgan noted that every program was asked to review its policies in the wake of COVID-19.

Regent Hinton asked about current housing capacity rates, since living in oncampus housing boosted retention rates. Vice President Mast and President Morgan noted that the university is currently at 84% capacity in housing, and is moving toward its goal of 90%.

Discussion Of Tuition And Fees

Undergraduate tuition was set to rise 2.9% in the 2023-2024 budget, an increase that was set within guidelines provided by the Council on Postsecondary Education. When averaged out over a four-year period, the net increase in tuition has only been 1.5%. In 2023, the cost of undergraduate tuition will be \$4,759, which means Morehead State University will offer the second lowest public, four-year institution tuition cost in the Commonwealth, second only to Kentucky State University. Housing costs have been frozen except for costs in Alumni, Grote-Thompson, and the University Farm, each of which have special circumstances that require some differentiation. Course fees are increasing by 1% where the fees apply. The enrollment deposit is decreasing from \$150 to \$75, and a non-refundable housing deposit of \$150 will be required. The Recreation and Wellness Center's one day pass will be set at \$10. Graduation fee is dropping to \$45, but regalia will not be included, a move that will benefit students who do not participate in commencement ceremonies.

Discussion Of University Personnel Roster President Morgan then called the Board of Regents' attention to the Personnel Roster. He noted that increases to base salaries in the University Employee Compensation plan began in April, following the approval granted at the March 2023 Board of Regents meeting.

Faculty Regent Adams thanked the planners for keeping the tuition low, but stated that she was not able to vote in favor of the budget due to any increase in tuition, and because she believed that the Personnel Roster did not match the University Organizational Chart and had inconsistencies. President Morgan asked Faculty Regent Adams for specific examples.

Continued Discussion Of The Personnel Roster And Organizational Chart Regent Adams noted that she believed the Personnel Roster was in conflict with the University Organizational Chart concerning the Caudill College reorganization. President Morgan agreed that there had been a reorganization of Caudill College since the preparation of the University Organizational Chart and the Personnel Roster had been prepared. He noted that the University was a dynamic place, and the Personnel Roster and Organizational Charts are prepared as a snapshot of a specific point in time, and, as with any large organization, turnover of employees occurred and had to be addressed in a timely fashion. The Personnel Roster reflected a date stamp of May 1, 2023, but that hiring was continuous within the university and that changes in both the Organizational Chart and Personnel Roster occurred on a rolling basis as university needs required.

Regent Long noted that she also regretted that tuition was rising, but that it was clearly inevitable and that she supported the budget as proposed.

Regent Walker noted the vital role that regional universities play in their communities, and that the entire state economy would be benefitted if students find reason to remain in the Commonwealth following their graduation.

Regent Hinton commented that he was impressed that the university had been able to take a conservative approach with tuition increases given the inflationary environment and very tight workforce the country is facing.

Discussion Of Healthcare Costs In 2023-2024 Budget Regent Walker asked about healthcare costs. Vice President Fister-Tucker pointed to the work that Human Resources and the University had done. Their partner Gallagher had helped to keep costs down. President Morgan noted that there may be some increase for employees in health insurance costs in the upcoming calendar year.

Chair Howard and President Morgan both noted that the 2.9% increase in tuition was in part due to the raises that MSU employees have received. Freezing housing prices have helped keep net student costs low, but if the university's budget was to accommodate rising wages, the funds had to come from somewhere.

Regent Martin noted that Morehead State University had not experienced some of the budgetary issues that other state universities had and commended the administration for their approach to budgeting.

THE PRESIDENT RECOMMENDED: that the Board approve the adoption of the 2023-2024 University Operating Budget, Fee Schedule, and Personnel Roster, Item IV-B-3.

MOTION: Regent Walker moved the adoption. Regent Hinton seconded. VOTE: Regent Martin abstained, Faculty Regent Adams voted no, with all other regents voting in favor. The motion passed.

Vote On Item IV-B-3

ITEM IV-B-4, APPROVE INCREASE IN SCOPE FOR COOPER HALL THE PRESIDENT RECOMMENDED: that the Board of Regents approve increase in scope for modernization of Cooper Hall.

President Morgan explained that the original estimate for this project was \$8.5 million. The engineers' estimate was \$9.5 million, but the actual bid for the project was received at \$10.8 million. These funds are provided through asset preservation dollars and there are no interest costs to the university for this project. Upon passage of the recommendation, work will begin in the summer, with a completion date projected in Spring 2025. Bringing Cooper Hall back online will ease pressure on the aging Cartmell Hall.

MOTION: Vice Chair Holbrook moved the adoption of the increase in scope for Cooper Hall. Regent Long seconded.

VOTE: The motion passed unanimously.

ITEM IV-B-5
APPROVE
CONSTRUCTION FOR
NEW SCIENCE AND
ENGINEERING
BUILDING

THE PRESIDENT RECOMMENDED that the Board of Regents authorize construction for the new Science and Engineering Building at a cost of \$98 million dollars. Approval of this recommendation will authorize the University to begin contracting for construction and for the Commonwealth of Kentucky to release approved dollars to Morehead State University for this construction.

President Morgan recognized Chief Facilities Officer Kim Oatman for an overview. Mr. Oatman explained that to date an architectural/engineering selection committee had been formed. Seven proposals were received, and the committee narrowed the selection to one firm. Negotiations are now underway with the selected firm for a contract. The anticipated completion date for this project is two to three years after construction begins.

President Morgan noted that the General Assembly has been monitoring the inflationary environment specifically in regard to capital project funding that they had previously approved in the prior biennial budget. There is a possibility that the state will provide additional funding to offset inflation for previously approved projects in the next biennial budget of 2024.

Vice Chair Holbrook asked what the administration's plan was if no inflationary increases were provided. President Morgan replied that the chief facilities officer would work with the selected firm on value engineering, square footage scale backs, or partial "shelling" of certain portions of the building. Regent Long asked about how the A/E firm would calculate costs, and Mr. Oatman explained their procedures. He noted that the cost increases to the Cooper Hall project can be instructive for the Science and Engineering building project. Regent Walker noted that the construction of the building would be centerpiece in recruitment efforts for STEM majors, and advocated the hiring of a construction manager to aid in cost savings.

MOTION: Vice Chair Holbrook moved the adoption of the motion to authorize construction of the new Science and Engineering Building. Regent Walker seconded.

VOTE: The Motion passed. Staff Regent Joel Pace was momentarily away and did not cast a vote.

ITEM IV-B-6, APPROVE RESOLUTION FOR NEW EMPLOYMENT CONTRACT FOR UNIVERSITY PRESIDENT CHAIR HOWARD RECOMMENDED that the Board of Regents approve a resolution for a new employment contract for the university president. He explained the Board's rationale for this new contract included the positive reviews that the President had received from the Board of Regents in their annual evaluations. Vice Chair Holbrook noted the importance of maintaining stability in the Office of the President due to new construction on campus, and also in light of President Morgan's good working relationship with state officials in Frankfort, KY.

Faculty Regent Adams stated her opposition to the resolution on the basis of the amount of the raise in base pay, increases in housing allowance, and due to concerns with the overall environment of higher education in the Commonwealth of Kentucky. Regent Long noted that the President had declined to accept some of the previous raises that the Board of Regents had offered, and Chair Howard noted that the President had declined to accept the Board's previous efforts to raise his housing allowance. Vice Chair Holbrook reiterated the positive view that state officials had of Morehead State University with President Morgan as the chief executive officer.

MOTION: Vice Chair Holbrook moved the adoption of the resolution for new employment contract for the university president. Second for the motion was made by Regent Martin. Regent Preece also had offered to second the motion.

VOTE: The motion passed with all regents voting in favor, except for Faculty Regent Adams, who voted no.

The Chair called for a brief recess of the meeting at 11:05 a.m.

The Chair reconvened the Board of Regents' meeting at 11:15 a.m.

REPORTS ITEM IV-C-1

President Morgan noted the Personal Service Contracts that were printed and placed in the Board of Regents' folders for their review. No action was required for this item.

PRESENTATIONS ITEMS IV-D-1-4

University Dining. Mr. Mast provided the Board of Regents an overview of dining options on campus and noted the university's continued working relationship with Aramark. The current Aramark contract dates back to 2009.

President Morgan recognized Vice President Russell Mast for a report on

University Dining Services

Mr. Mast noted that he and his team have weekly meetings with Aramark, and observed that in the past year the company has had to navigate through trucking strikes, worker shortages, and sharp inflationary pressures on food costs. He noted that Aramark and the university had worked together to keep costs to students down.

Vice President Mast also highlighted the food security programs that the university maintained, including the Eagle Essentials food pantries on campus and emergency food vouchers that Aramark makes available for students in need.

Spotlight on First Year Programs President Morgan then recognized Ms. Lora Pace, Director of First- and Second-Year Programs, and Michelle Barber, Director of Retention and Academic Advising, for a presentation on First Year programs.

Ms. Pace and Ms. Barber discussed the high impact, research-based practices that are used in MSU's first year programs, noting the alignment with the university's strategic plan and goals.

MSU's first year programs feature close alignment between the division of student affairs and division of academic affairs, with student demographics and needs kept in clear view when developing programs and policies. They noted that MSU's first year programs have served as model programs for other colleges and universities across the Commonwealth of Kentucky and beyond.

Ms. Pace noted the importance of SOAR (Student Orientation and Advising and Retention) days on campus. These sessions are geared toward helping students take care of the business side of enrolling as a student at Morehead State University.

Once students are enrolled and on campus, "new student days" at the start of the semester feature an "edutainment" approach of acclimating the students to life on campus. Events showcase the many programs and opportunities that students have. Ms. Barber noted the ways retention and advising staff are trained, and how they are required to keep the university's mission in mind in everything that they do. She provided an overview of the University's First Year Seminar (FYS 101) course, and how her unit works closely with Academic Affairs in the credentialing of instructors, and how course content is moderated by a sub-committee that is approved by the MSU Faculty Senate. Ms. Barber noted that students are given the opportunity to provide feedback on the instruction at several points throughout the semester. Ms. Barber gave an overview of the course contents, and explained the career exploration and academic advising components that are embedded.

The Board of Regents were provided with several details on how FYS has a positive impact on retention. FYS 101 also has some "enhanced" sections for students who are identified as needing remedial instruction in successful reading strategies.

Chair Howard commented that Morehead State has demonstrated results in the First Year Experience. Vice Chair Holbrook commented on the positive things that he had seen in the work of first year programs.

Regent Adams voiced her disagreement with the statement that faculty senate approved the sub-committee and noted that she thought first year students needed more assistance with learning how to navigate Blackboard, the university's course management system.

Regent Hinton noted the focus on retention in the first-year programs, and commended the staff members for their efforts. Regent Martin added that he has heard many testimonials on the effectiveness of first year programs from students down through the years.

President Morgan recognized outgoing Student Regent Chloe Marstiller, who is also the outgoing President of the Student Government Association. Student Regent Marstiller was recognized for the outstanding service she has provided to the SGA over the past year of her term, and for her work as the student regent on the MSU Board of Regents.

Student Regent/SGA President Marstiller then discussed the programing that the SGA provides and supports. She explained the composition of the overall Student Government Association and the Executive Board. She discussed the budget of the organization and highlighted several of the accomplishments of the past year. Among the SGA's accomplishments for 2022-2023 were the incorporation of a "reading day" during finals week; participation in the state-wide rally for Higher Education in Frankfort; providing funding for registered student organizations on campus; contributions to the student health fund; displays of student artwork in ADUC; and a variety of annual events and programming held across campus.

President Morgan commended Ms. Marstiller and the SGA for their work across campus, and again thanked Ms. Marstiller for her work as the Student Regent.

ITEM IV-D-3, UPDATE ON STUDENT GOVERNMENT ASSOCIATION

Student Government Association Achievements In 2022-2023 ITEM IV-D-4, PRESIDENT'S REPORT

Performance Funding

Mandatory State Financial Disclosure paperwork

ITEM V-1, OTHER BUSINESS

Resolution For Outgoing Regents Faculty Regent Adams asked about the student art work displays in ADUC and Student Regent Marstiller explained current activities and plans for future expansion.

President Morgan discussed the legislative review of the performance funding models and discussed some potential alterations to the current model. By statute, the model was set to be reviewed in 2023, and he has been part of several discussions that have included all of the state's public university presidents and staff from the Council on Postsecondary Education. There is a possibility that the General Assembly may modify the performance funding model in its next session. Any modifications that result in increased funding for the university will be welcomed, as costs for KERS and health insurance are rising.

He further noted that he and the Board Chair would sign the mandatory state financial disclosures that document and attest that the university had prepared a reasonable budget.

His report also included an update on the Smith College of Business's development of a 3-year Bachelor's in Business Administration Degree, the spring enrollment report, the renewal of the lease of the Laughlin Building with the City of Morehead, and the retention of a parking lot near the Laughlin Building for university parking.

Upcoming Board of Regents meeting dates were highlighted, with a change of the date of the August 4 meeting to August 18 by common consent.

President Morgan concluded his report with thanks to the Board of Regents for their support, and expressing his thanks to MSU Faculty and Staff for their work and contributions toward student success.

Board Chair Howard called the Board's attention to page 117 in their Agenda books for the resolution. President Morgan explained that former regent Laura Russell had resigned her role in May. Former Regent Russell has received an appointment as a judge in Jefferson County, KY and she was required to relinquish her role on the board by statute.

MOTION: Vice Chair Holbrook moved the adoption of the resolution to recognize outgoing Regents Russell, Long, Adams and Marstiller. Regent Preece seconded.

VOTE: The motion passed.

Regent Long thanked the Board of Regents for the opportunity serve with them for 12 years.

Student Regent Marstiller noted the kindness she had experienced and respect she had been afforded and thanked the Board of Regents for their professionalism.

Faculty Regent Adams stated she looked forward to Dr. Joyce Stubbs taking over the role of faculty regent beginning July 1.

ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business to come before the Board, Chair Howard entertained a motion to adjourn.

MOTION: Regent Hinton moved to adjourn. Staff Regent Pace seconded.

VOTE: The motion passed.

Jane V. Fitzpatrick, Acting Secretar

Board of Regents

insert tab page with CONSENT AGENDA on tab

Recommendation:

That the Board of Regents, upon recommendation of the President, approve the contracts for the following athletic department personnel:

Chris Fox, Head Women's Soccer Coach (contract to December 31, 2026) Braeden Ward, Head Baseball Coach (contract to June 30, 2026) Robert Kelly Wells, Athletic Director (contract to June 30, 2026)

Background:

Chris Fox has been the Head Women's Soccer Coach since February 1, 2022. Coach Fox's contract includes expectations regarding Academic Progress Rates (APR), and Graduation Success Rates (GSR). Furthermore, Coach Fox agrees to abide by all NCAA & Morehead State University policies and procedures. This is not a tenure-eligible appointment.

Braeden Ward has been the Head Baseball Coach since July 16, 2023. Coach Ward's contract includes expectations regarding Academic Progress Rates (APR), and Graduation Success Rates (GSR). Furthermore, Coach Ward agrees to abide by all NCAA & Morehead State University policies and procedures. This is not a tenure-eligible appointment.

Robert Kelly Wells has been the Athletic Director since July 5 of 2023. Athletic Director Well's contract includes expectations regarding Academic Progress Rates (APR), and Graduation Success Rates (GSR). Furthermore, Athletic Director Well's agrees to abide by all NCAA & Morehead State University policies and procedures. This is not a tenure-eligible appointment.

Prepared by Russell F. Mast

APPROVE REVISIONS STUDENT CODE OF CONDUCT

BOR VI-A-3 August 18, 2023

Recommendation:

That the Board of Regents, upon the recommendation of the President, approve the updates and revisions of the Student Code of Conduct.

The Student Code of Conduct applies to the behavior of students on University property or facilities owned, operated, controlled or being used by the University. The Student Code of Conduct also applies to the behavior of students off campus, when such behavior impairs University functioning, impacts another member of the University community, has a negative impact upon the reputation of the University, and/or endangers the safety of the University community.

Prepared by: Max Ammons

A. INTRODUCTION

Morehead State University is committed to providing a supportive learning environment for our students. MSU students are expected to reflect a commitment to obtaining an education, and meet the standards of conduct promulgated by federal, state, and local laws and University policies, rules, and regulations.

Students, faculty, and staff constitute our University community. Membership carries with it the responsibility to abide by our community's behavioral expectations and hold others accountable for their behavior. We expect our members to treat each other with integrity, dignity, and respect. While the University does not regard itself as the arbiter of morals, we do reserve the right to make members aware of the expectations of the larger society and the University community, and to take action when individual behavior fails to meet community standards. In order to maintain the educational process, each member of the University community must be prepared to accept the consequences of their actions regardless of intent or circumstance.

If you believe our expectations fail to reflect our community values or protect student rights, we encourage you to responsibly and respectfully work for constructive change through the appropriate university channels. In many cases, the Dean of Students can assist students in understanding their options.

B. STUDENT RESPONSIBILITIES

Students are expected to abide by University policies, rules, and regulations published in official publications of the University including, but not limited to, the Undergraduate Catalog, the Graduate Catalog, University Administrative Regulations, and the Eagle Student Handbook (which includes the Student Conduct Code). The Student Conduct Code provides students general notice of expected and prohibited behavior. The scope of the Student Conduct Code and additional notices of University expectations are detailed in this document.

Scope of the Student Conduct Code.

The Student Conduct Code applies to the behavior of students on University property or facilities owned, operated, controlled, or being used by the University. The Student Conduct Code also applies to the behavior of students off campus when such behavior impairs University functioning, impacts another member of the University community, has a negative impact upon the reputation of the University, and/or endangers the safety of the University community.

The code is not written with the specificity of a criminal statute, and similarities in vocabulary between criminal statues and the Student Conduct Code are unintentional. Students found responsible for misconduct are subject to sanctions which are intended to provide education and accountability while also reducing the likelihood of continued prohibited conduct.

Federal, state, and local laws apply to students whether on or off the campus. Students are not immune to prosecution by local, state, or federal law irrespective of whether the University initiates conduct proceedings in a given situation. Violations of the law may be subject to the penalties imposed by law as well as the sanctions issued by the University.

Academic Integrity.

All students at Morehead State University are required to abide by accepted standards of academic honesty. Academic honesty includes doing one's own work, giving credit for the work of others, and using resources appropriately.

Alcohol and Drugs.

The Drug-Free Schools and Communities Act mandates that universities adopt and implement programs to prevent the unlawful possession, use, dispensation, or distribution of illicit drugs and alcohol. The Student Conduct Code defines prohibited behaviors involving alcohol and drugs. Violations of the prohibited behavior shall result in disciplinary action up to and including suspension or termination. In general, the possession or consumption of alcoholic beverages on University property or while participating in University related activities is

strictly prohibited unless approved by the MSU President. The unlawful use, possession, or distribution of drugs and/or drug paraphernalia on University property or while participating in University related activities is also strictly prohibited

Dress Code.

Morehead State University does not maintain a formal dress code. However, it is expected that students dress appropriately when attending public functions, attending classes, participating in University activities, and/or otherwise representing the University.

Noise.

In keeping with our academic mission, and to maintain an environment that is conducive to learning, the University maintains reasonable expectations regarding excessive Sustained noise (including boisterous behavior, loud music, etc.) is generally prohibited in and around academic buildings during the times when academic instruction is taking place. Sustained noise (including boisterous behavior, loud music, etc.) is generally prohibited in and around residence halls. Unlawful noise is prohibited in accordance with city ordinance 91.03 (generally, the ordinance prohibits noise that exceeds the decibels of normal conversation between the hours of 12:00am-7:00am, and at all other times when the noise is sustained for more than 5 minutes). The use of noise amplification devices are generally prohibited at any time and location on University property. Exceptions may be approved by the Dean of Students, a University Vice President, or the MSU President.

Nothing contained in the University policies, rules, or regulations is intended to limit the rights of free speech and peaceful assembly. These rights must be exercised in a peaceful, reasonable, and orderly manner, and may not violate the rights of other members of the University community or interfere with the academic mission of the University.

Official University Communications.

Students are held responsible for any official information the University sends via email. There

will be several occasions when faculty, staff, and other members of the University community will need to contact students with important information. Therefore, student are encouraged to check their University email on a daily basis.

Recording, Downloading and File Sharing.

Recording, downloading and sharing materials has become commonplace within our society. Although there are legitimate reasons to leverage today's technology, it is essential that members of the MSU community have a clear understanding of copyright requirements, regulations, guidelines, and laws before recording, downloading or sharing information. Violations of the law may be subject to the penalties imposed by law as well as the sanctions issued by the University.

Tobacco.

Tobacco and electronic nicotine delivery system (e.g. vaporizers, e-cigarettes) use is prohibited on all University owned, leased, or controlled property and vehicles. This includes privately owned vehicles parked on, or in transit across, University property, and in the interior of all buildings and residence halls. Exceptions to this policy are only allowed in designated outside smoking areas approved by the MSU President. Approved smoking areas are clearly marked with signage and equipped with appropriate containers for the disposal of ash, butts, and other waste from tobacco use.

Animals.

Students are expected to read and understand the University rules and regulations regarding the presence of animals on University property (University Administrative Regulation 343) prior to attempting to bring an animal to campus. Animals not permitted under the University Administrative Regulation are strictly prohibited from being on University property. Animals that are permitted must be under control at all times. Animals must be harnessed, leashed, or tethered and any animal waste must be properly cleaned and disposed of by the owner/handler. Unless expressly permitted by the University rules and regulations, animals are strictly prohibited from entering University buildings.

C. STUDENT RIGHTS

Students have the right to enjoy the freedoms guaranteed by the Constitution of the United States and the Commonwealth of Kentucky. Nothing contained in the University policies, rules, or regulations is intended to limit these rights.

Due Process.

Students who are alleged to have violated the Student Conduct Code are entitled to certain procedural rights to ensure a fair and impartial resolution of the allegation. Such rights are codified in KRS 164.370 and in the Student Conduct Code. Students charged with violations of the Student Conduct Code are presumed not to be responsible for the violations until the standards of evidence are met.

Freedom of Speech.

Morehead State University ensures the right to free speech and expression, and encourages the timely and rational discussion of topics whereby the ethical and intellectual development of students and general welfare of the public may be promoted. The generally accessible, open, outdoor areas of the campus are maintained as traditional public forums for students to express their views. In this regard, the University grants students the broadest possible latitude to speak, write, listen, challenge, learn, and discuss anv issue; including ideas considered by some or most members of the University's community to be offensive, unwise, disagreeable, conservative, liberal, traditional or radical. However, these rights shall not be construed to grant students the right to engage in conduct that intentionally, materially, and substantially disrupts another's expressive activity if that activity is occurring in a campus space previously scheduled or reserved for that activity or under the exclusive use or control of a particular group. Students shall not substantially obstruct or otherwise substantially interfere with the freedom of others to express views they reject so that a lively and fearless freedom of debate and deliberation is promoted and protected.

In all circumstances regarding free speech, the University reserves the right to regulate the time, place and manner. Any regulation by the

University will be reasonable, justified without reference to the content of the regulated speech, narrowly tailored to serve a compelling governmental interest, and limited to provide ample alternative options for the communication of the message.

Nondiscrimination.

Morehead State University is committed to providing equal educational opportunities to all persons regardless of race, color, national origin, age, religion, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, gender expression, disabled veterans, recently separated veterans, other protected veterans, and armed forces service medal veterans, or disability. Furthermore, the expression of a student's religious or political viewpoint in the classroom, homework, artwork, and other written and oral assignments is free from discrimination or penalty based on the religious or political content of the submission.

Student Records.

The Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA) afford students certain rights with respect to their education records. Students wishing to review their records, request amendments, restrict the disclosure of information, or better understand their FERPA rights are encouraged to visit the Registrar's office or website to learn more.

A. TERMS, ROLES, AND DEFINITIONS

Advisor: Person who serves in a support capacity for a complainant or respondent. An advisor may be present during a hearing, but may not participate in the hearing, discussion, or questioning.

Alternative Resolution: A voluntary process to resolve a complaint or dispute without a hearing.

Amnesty: A protocol in which students who violate the University's alcohol and drug policies are not subject to disciplinary sanctions. The protocol is applicable only for alcohol/drugs use and not for other prohibited behavior.

Complainant: Person or organization accusing a student or student organization of a violation of the Student Conduct Code.

Counsel (legal): A person licensed in the Commonwealth of Kentucky to practice law.

Director of Student Engagement Activities and Programs: Student affairs administrator who oversees and coordinates student activities and registered student organizations.

Dean of Students: Chief judicial officer for student conduct. Responsible for the administration and implementation of the student judicial system and Student Conduct Code.

Director for Housing & Residence Education: Student affairs administrator who oversees Housing & Residence Education. Initial point of contact for behavioral concerns in student housing and Housing Contract violations.

Hearing Committee: Committee that adjudicates alleged violations of the Student Conduct Code that could result in suspension or dismissal from the University or student housing.

Hearing Committee Chairperson: Non-voting member of the Hearing Committee, responsible for running the proceedings, maintaining order, and ruling on procedural matters.

Investigator: University employee who investigates assigned cases alleging violations of the Student Conduct Code.

Mediation: A voluntary process that utilizes an impartial, neutral third party who acts as a

facilitator to help the parties reach a mutually acceptable outcome.

Participant: A respondent or complainant.

Preponderance of the Evidence Standard: "More likely than not" standard used in determining whether a student is responsible or not responsible for violating the Student Conduct Code

Registered Student Organization: An official student organization that is registered and recognized by the University

Respondent: Student or student organization accused of violating the Student Conduct Code.

Responsible/Not Responsible: Student conduct finding. A student may be found Responsible if it is determined that, more likely than not, the student violated the Student Conduct Code. A student may be found Not Responsible if there was not sufficient evidence or information to find the student responsible for violating the Student Conduct Code.

Sanction: A consequence or mandate imposed to address a violation of the Student Conduct Code. Sanctions are intended to provide educational opportunities and accountability while also reducing the likelihood of future prohibited conduct.

Student Conduct Coordinator: University employee who performs the student conduct administrative tasks including scheduling, records retention, and reports.

Student Disciplinary Committee: Standing university committee.

University: Morehead State University.

Vice President for Student Affairs (VPSA). Senior student affairs administrator who serves as the appellate judicial officer for cases heard by the Dean of Students. The VPSA may exercise the authority of the chief judicial officer in the absence or recusal of the Dean of Students.

B. INVESTIGATION

Report of Possible Violation

Allegations of misconduct may be initiated via several sources, including but not limited to, law enforcement reports, incident reports, direct observations, and complaints. Any entity may submit a report against a student for misconduct. Reports should be prepared in writing and directed to the Dean of Students or, in the case of infractions occurring in the residential community, to the Director for Housing & Residence Education. Allegations of misconduct committed by a Registered Student Organization may be reported to the Director of Student Engagement Activities and Programs. Reports not submitted in writing will be independently verified prior to initiating an investigation.

MSU reserves the right to investigate/adjudicate reports without an identified complainant. If a reporting party requests that their identity be withheld or the allegation not be investigated, the University may consider if the request can be honored while still providing a safe and nondiscriminatory environment (federal law mandates reporting in some cases) for the University. The reporting party should be aware 1) the University cannot guarantee confidentiality; 2) honoring the request may limit the ability to fully respond to the incident; 3) such request may limit the University's ability to carry out its student conduct process, and; 4) the University may have a legal obligation to investigate and process the complaint.

The Student Conduct Code and student conduct process are administrative functions, separate and distinct from local, state and federal law. The student conduct process may proceed against students charged with violations of the law when the alleged behavior also violates the Student Conduct Code. The student conduct process may be carried out prior to, simultaneously with, or following civil or criminal proceedings.

Initial Evaluation of Report

After receiving a report, the Dean of Students will review the circumstances of the incident and determine if the allegation represents a potential violation of the Student Conduct Code and if the potential consequence of the alleged violation could result in dismissal, suspension, or

suspension from student housing. The review may include a meeting with, or a request for further information from, any sources with knowledge of the incident for the purpose of determining is there is reasonable suspicion that a violation exists. A report that alleges behavior that does not violate the Student Conduct Code, or that lacks sufficient information, may be dismissed or otherwise result in no action being taken. In the event that a complainant disagrees with this decision, the complainant may request a review by the Vice President for Student Affairs.

If the Dean of Students determines that the complaint/information merits further action, then a case file will be created and an Investigator assigned to the case. In the event the allegations at any time constitute a potential violation of UAR 337 (Sexual Misconduct), then the matter will be referred to the University Title IX Coordinator pursuant to the Sexual Misconduct policy.

Investigation

An Investigator will gather evidence, collect information, and/or meet with any sources with knowledge of the complaint or incident (e.g. the complainant, the respondent, and any witnesses) for the purpose of establishing the relevant facts of the case.

The participant shall be provided written notice of the Student Conduct Code violation(s) of which the respondent is accused of violating, the specific details of the facts, as then known, upon which the alleged violation(s) is (are) based, and the rights of the participant in the student conduct process.

Furthermore, the participant shall be provided written notice of the time, place, and location of any investigative meeting/interview in which the participant is scheduled or expected to appear at least three (3) business days prior to the scheduled event.

If a student fails to attend or arrange a requested meeting, or otherwise disregards the written notice or request to meet, then a hold may be placed on the student's official University account until the student is compliant and/or a resolution may be made without the student's input.

Medical Amnesty

A student who reports a potential violation of the Student Conduct Code, or who is participating in an investigation as a witness, may be granted amnesty for their use of alcohol or drugs if: 1) The student reports the alcohol/drug violation voluntarily and in good faith, and 2) The reported use is not a pending/existing case or currently under investigation. However, the student may be provided treatment resources or be required to undergo drug and alcohol counseling and/or education, as appropriate.

A student who seeks emergency/medical treatment for another student believed to be experiencing an alcohol or drug related medical emergency may by granted amnesty for their own use of alcohol or drugs. The protocol also applies to students who are experiencing an alcohol or drug related medical emergency and seek emergency/medical treatment on their own behalf or they are the subject of such a request. The student may be provided treatment resources or be required to undergo drug and alcohol counseling and/or education, and the parents of the student may be notified, as legally appropriate. A record of the alcohol/drug use will be maintained should subsequent alcohol/drug violations occur, and will be considered for sanctioning purposes. This protocol does not apply to other prohibited behaviors, including the distribution of illicit substances.

Interim Measures

The Dean of Students, or his/her designee, may issue interim measures at any point after the University becomes aware of an allegation or potential incident of misconduct and finds it necessary to enact the measure to protect the University, protect any member of the University community, or to mitigate the threat of a disruption or interference with normal University operations. Interim measures are not a determination of responsibility for misconduct and the measures are limited in scope and time. Interim measures may include, but are not limited to: Changes of university housing assignment, no contact orders, property restrictions, changes academic or employment arrangements/schedules, or supervision. If the Dean of Students determines that a student's presence is of a serious or immediate threat to the university community, then the student may

be temporarily suspended and/or immediately banned from University property.

A student subject to interim measures shall receive written notice that explains the University's reasons for enacting the interim measures within twenty four (24) hours of issuance. The notice will also inform the student of their right to an interim measure hearing, and the steps to schedule the hearing, which shall occur within three (3) business days of the written notice, unless waived by the student. Failure of the student to respond within three (3) business days following the written notice also constitutes a waiver of the interim measure hearing. If the student waives the interim measure hearing, the student's waiver does not constitute an admission of responsibility or a waiver of any other student rights.

The interim measure hearing shall be heard by the Vice President of Student Affairs to determine whether there is substantial information that the student poses a risk to the University community and that the interim measure is appropriate to mitigate that risk. The student shall have the right to be represented by counsel at the interim measure hearing, at the student's own expense. If the student elects to be represented by counsel, the student should provide prior notice to the University, through the Office of the Dean of Students.

The student shall have the right to an interim measure hearing with the Vice President of Student Affairs to determine whether there is substantial information that the student poses a risk to the University community and that the interim measure is appropriate to mitigate that risk. The student will receive notification of the date, time, and location of the interim measure hearing, which shall occur within three (3) business days of the interim measure written notice, unless waived by the student. If the student waives the interim measure hearing, the student's waiver does not constitute an admission of responsibility or a waiver of any other student rights. The student shall have the right to be represented by counsel at the interim measure hearing, at the student's own expense. If the student elects to be represented by counsel, the student should provide prior notice to the University, through the Office of the Dean of Students.

As an exception to the student's right to a hearing, measures necessary to effectuate a judicial order shall not be subject to an interim measure hearing.

C. DISCIPLINARY PROCEDURES

Evaluation of Report/Investigation

The Dean of Students will review the results of the investigation to determine if the alleged behavior meets the elements for a violation of the Student Conduct Code and the seriousness of the alleged violation.

If the investigation clears the respondent from responsibility for an alleged violation, or there is insufficient information, then charges against the respondent may be dismissed. If the investigation discovers additional evidence that indicates a new or different violation of the Student Conduct Code, then charges may be added and/or amended.

Charges and/or circumstances that are <u>not</u> serious enough to result in the student's dismissal/suspension from the University or Student Housing shall be resolved by the Dean of Students.

Charges and/or circumstances that are serious enough that a finding of responsibility could result in the student's dismissal/suspension from the University or from Student Housing shall be referred to a Hearing Committee for adjudication.

Adjudication

Hearing with the Dean of Students.

If a charge is not serious enough (if substantiated) to result in dismissal/suspension from the University or Student Housing, then the Dean of Students may resolve the case.

The Dean of Students shall notify the respondent in writing of the charges, the facts upon which the charges are based, and instructions on how to schedule the date/time/location the date/time/location of the hearing with the Dean of Students. The hearing will be held no earlier than ten (10) business days from the date of notification, unless waived by the student in writing. If waived, the student may request an earlier hearing or accept responsibility for the charges without a hearing.

The hearing with the Dean of Students is an informal discussion in which respondents are expected to represent themselves. The hearing will include a discussion of the alleged violation(s), presentation of relevant evidence, discussion to establish any other relevant facts (including any mitigating or extenuating circumstances), and/or any defense that the respondent wishes to present. If the respondent accepts responsibility for the misconduct, then the Dean of Students will determine the appropriate sanction(s). If the respondent does not accept responsibility for the misconduct, then the Dean of Students shall determine if there is sufficient information/evidence to make a decision of responsibility. If further information is needed, the Dean of Students may delay the hearing for additional investigation. Once there is sufficient information/evidence to make a decision, the Dean of Students shall determine if the respondent is responsible or not responsible for violating the Student Conduct Code. The respondent may appeal the Dean of Students' decision to the Vice President for Student Affairs under the conditions and stipulations outlined in the appeals section.

If the respondent fails to meet with the Dean of Students, or otherwise disregards the notice of hearing, then a hold may be placed on the respondent's official University student account and/or a final resolution may be made without the respondent's input.

Hearing with a Hearing Committee.

If a charge of misconduct is serious enough (if substantiated) that it mav result dismissal/suspension from the University or Student Housing, then a Hearing Committee shall resolve the case. The Hearing Committee shall be comprised of four (4) members: A chairperson appointed by the University President, whom shall serve as a non-voting member; Two (2) University employees and one (1) University student, whom shall serve as voting members. Membership to the Hearing Committee shall exclude any individual that conducts an investigation or presides over an alternative resolution process related to the case.

The participant shall be provided written notice of the Student Conduct Code violation(s) of which the respondent is accused of violating, the specific details of the facts upon which the alleged violation(s) is (are) based, the rights of the participant in the student conduct process, and the date/time/location of the hearing. The hearing will be held no earlier than the (10) business days from the date of notification.

The participant shall be permitted reasonable continuing access to the administrative file for the case beginning at least seven (7) business days prior to the hearing. All evidence and/or lists of witnesses that the University and/or the participant intend to introduce at the hearing are expected to be submitted to the administrative file, through the Office of the Dean of Students, at least three (3) business days prior to the hearing. Any evidence and/or lists of witnesses that are submitted less than three (3) days prior to the hearing shall only be admissible at the discretion of the Hearing Committee Chairperson. participant shall be immediately notified if evidence and/or witnesses are admitted within three (3) days of the hearing.

The participant shall have the right to be represented by counsel at their own expense. Written notification of representation shall be made to both the Office of the Dean of Students and to the University General Counsel. Upon receiving the written notification of representation, the University will direct all correspondence related to the hearing to both the

participant's counsel and to the participant. The counsel may represent the participant at each material phase of the student conduct process, including any alternative resolution, meeting, hearing, or appeal of the matter.

The hearing will be closed to the public. The participant may be represented by counsel, at their own expense. The participant may also be accompanied by up to two advisors, who may only serve in a support role and may not participate in the questioning or discussion. The participant will be given the opportunity to hear the evidence presented and to question witnesses who testify. The participant shall have the right to: (1) Make opening and closing statements; (2) Present relevant evidence; (3) cross-examine any testimony personally or through counsel, as permitted by law. However, cross-examination of a student who is a victim or complainant that is personally conducted by the respondent shall require the respondent to submit the questions to the Hearing Committee Chairperson. The Chairperson shall ask all the relevant questions to the witness, state the rationale for excluding any questions, grant the respondent the right to amend any excluded questions for resubmission. The respondent may remain silent, which fact will not be considered adversely against the respondent. The hearing, except for the Hearing Committee's closed/executive session, will be recorded or transcribed. The recording and/or transcript shall be added to the case file upon completion.

At the conclusion of the presentation of all evidence and closing statements, the Hearing Committee will move into a closed, executive session for deliberation. The Hearing Committee shall determine whether the respondent violated each section of the student conduct code of which the respondent is charged. The determination will be made by simple majority vote on the basis of whether it is "more likely than not" that the respondent violated the conduct code. evidence in the case file that is determined by the Chairperson to be relevant and admissible may considered in the determination of responsibility. If the Hearing Committee finds that the respondent is responsible for violating the conduct code, then the committee shall determine the appropriate sanction(s).

Should the participant fail to appear, and the Hearing Committee determine that the notification process was reasonable and

adequate, the case may be heard in absentia and decided with the available evidence. If the participant withdraws or otherwise leaves the University, the Hearing Committee retains the option of conducting a hearing.

The committee chairperson will notify the Dean of Students, in writing, of the committee's decision within five (5) business days following the hearing. Within five (5) business days of receiving the committee's decision, the Dean of Students will provide the committee's decision, in writing, to the respondent and any other appropriate individuals. The respondent may appeal the committee's decision to the University president under the conditions and stipulations outlined in the appeals section.

Alternative Resolution. Prior to a hearing, the participant may request an alternative resolution. Requests for alternative resolution shall be made to the Dean of Students in writing, and may include the recommended mode of resolution (e.g. mediation, facilitated dialogue, restorative actions, etc.). The mode of resolution must be agreed to by all parties (Complainant, Respondent, and University). If one or more parties are not interested in attempting to resolve the matter through alternative resolution, the matter will proceed to a hearing. If the parties agree to the alternative resolution, the Dean of Students will make arrangements for the resolution. The participant shall have the right at any time before a resolution is reached to stop the alternate resolution process and have the matter proceed to a Student Conduct Hearing. Failure by the respondent to participate fully and actively in the alternative resolution, after agreeing to do so, may result in the matter being referred back to a Student Conduct Hearing. Alternative Resolution may be offered and may begin before or after a charge letter is issued to the respondent. If before, a charge letter may or may not be issued before resolution. Any matter resolved through alternative resolution is not subject to appeal.

Appeals

Appeal of decisions made by the Dean of Students.

The Vice President for Student Affairs shall serve as the appellate judicial officer for student

conduct decisions made by the Dean of Students. A request for appeal must be in writing and submitted to the Dean of Students within five (5) business days following written notification of the conduct decision. The appeal is not simply a second hearing, therefore, the request for appeal must clearly state the reason(s) for seeking modifications to the student conduct decision under one or more of the following criteria: (1) Whether the hearing was conducted fairly and in accordance with the procedures outlined in the Student Conduct Code; (2) Whether there was sufficient information presented at the hearing to establish, by a preponderance of the evidence. that a violation of the Student Conduct Code occurred; (3) Whether the imposed sanctions are appropriate: (4) Whether there is new information that was not known at the time of the hearing and that, if presented at the hearing, would more likely than not have altered the hearing decision.

The Dean of Students will forward the appeal letter to the Vice President for Student Affairs within five (5) business days of receipt. The respondent may request, in writing to the Dean of Students, suspension of all or part of the decision being appealed while awaiting the appeal process. The Vice President shall review the findings of the hearing, including the case file, hearing transcripts, and other evidence relating to the case. At the discretion of the Vice President, the review process may include a meeting with the respondent. The Vice President shall issue a decision within ten (10) business days through written notification to the Dean of Students and The Vice President may the Respondent. remand a case, dismiss some or all charges, affirm the original decision, or change the sanction(s) imposed. The decision of the Vice President is final.

Appeal of decisions made by the Hearing Committee.

Decisions of the Hearing Committee may be appealed to the President of the University. A request for appeal must be in writing and submitted to the Dean of Students within five (5) business days following written notification of the conduct decision. The Dean of Students will forward the appeal letter to the President within five (5) business days of receipt. The respondent may request, in writing to the Vice President for Student Affairs, suspension of all or part of the decision being appealed while awaiting the

appeal process. The President shall review the findings of the hearing, including the case file, hearing transcripts, and other evidence relating to the case. At the discretion of the President, the review process may include a meeting with the respondent. The President shall issue a decision within ten (10) business days through written notification to the Dean of Students and the Respondent. The University President is designated by the Morehead State University Board of Regents to make the final appeal determination. Therefore, the decision of the President is final.

Notwithstanding the University President's final order, the respondent shall have the right to a hearing pursuant to KRS 164.370 and KRS 13B.140.

Student Organization Conduct Process

The conduct process for Registered Student Organizations will generally follow the same protocols as individual student conduct cases. Potential variations to the protocols are listed in this subsection. (Note: A student may be held accountable as an individual and as a member of an organization for the same incident.)

In the event the allegations at any time constitute a potential violation of UAR 337 (Sexual Misconduct), then the matter will be referred to the University Title IX Coordinator pursuant to the Sexual Misconduct policy.

Initial Evaluation of Report. The Director of Student Engagement Activities and Programs may conduct the initial evaluation of alleged violations of the Student Conduct Code by a student organization. The Director of Student Engagement Activities and Programs will consult with the Dean of Students if there is a determination that the complaint/information merits further action.

Investigation. The president of the student organization will receive a written notification of charges and will act as the representative of the organization throughout the conduct process. The president of the student organization may delegate this responsibility to another active member of the student organization, but must notify the Dean of Students in writing. The national organization and local advisor affiliated

with the local student organization may be notified by the University, if applicable.

Interim Measures. The Dean of Students may issue interim measures at any point after the University becomes aware of an allegation or potential incident of misconduct by a student organization. The Director of Student Engagement Activities and Programs may be consulted and/or notified of this action. Interim measures include, but are not limited to: 1) interim suspension; 2) interim social suspension, and; 3) restriction.

Evaluation of Report/Investigation. A review of an alleged violation of the Student Conduct Code by a student organization will consider all circumstances, including, but not limited to whether: 1) the allegation involved one or more members of the organization; 2) officers of the organization had prior knowledge of the alleged misconduct; 3) organization funds were used in relation to the alleged misconduct; 4) the alleged misconduct occurred as a result of an organization's sponsored function, and; 5) members of the organization lied about the alleged incident. The amnesty protocol does not apply to organizations, however potential conduct consequences may be mitigated representative of the student organization hosting an event calls for medical assistance.

Hearing.

If a charge of misconduct is serious enough (if substantiated) that it may result in loss of recognition or suspension of the organization, then the case shall be heard by the Hearing Committee. Charges in this category may include, but are not limited to: Hazing, sexual misconduct, drugs, alcohol law violations, and other law violations that result or have a high likelihood of resulting in injury or arrest. Law violations include conduct that could constitute a violation of law, regardless of formal charges or conviction in court.

If a charge of misconduct is not serious enough (if substantiated) that it may result in loss of recognition or suspension, then the student organization may request that their case be resolved through a student judicial body. Resolution through a student judicial body requires the following conditions: 1) the student organization that is charged with misconduct accepts responsibility for the conduct and waives their right to appeal, in writing, and; 2) the student

judicial body hearing the case has a written judiciary protocol that is accepted/approved by the Office of Student Activities.

If heard by a student judicial body then the hearing shall include the presentation of any available evidence and the student organization's testimony. After the hearing is complete, the student judiciary body will meet in a closed session to determine the appropriate sanctions anv other recourse authorized by organizational bylaws or rules. The student judiciary body will present their decision to the Director of Student Engagement Activities and Programs who may uphold, overturn, or remand The final decision shall be the decision. submitted to the Dean of Students within five (5) business days of the hearing. Upon receipt of the final decision from the student judicial body, the Dean of Students will provide the decision, in writing, to the student organization and any other appropriate offices.

Appeal. The Vice President for Student Affairs shall serve as the appellate judicial officer for student organization conduct decisions made by the Dean of Students.

D. ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION

Standard of Evidence.

A determination of whether a student or student organization is responsible or not responsible for violating the Student Conduct Code will be based upon the preponderance of the evidence standard. This means that a determination is made on the basis of whether it is "more likely than not" that the respondent violated, or did not violate, the Student Conduct Code.

Admissibility of evidence shall be consistent with KRS 13B.090.

Procedural Presumption. The respondent shall be presumed to be not responsible for the alleged violation(s), and has not committed a violation of the Student Conduct Code, until the standard of evidence is met. Such presumption shall not be grounds to construe that any complainant or witness presented false information or evidence.

Procedural/Process Variations.

Reasonable deviations from these procedures will not invalidate a decision or proceeding unless significant prejudice to a student results.

Should the Dean of Students be unavailable, require recusal, or otherwise be unable to fulfill the duties and functions codified in the student conduct procedures, the Vice President for Student Affairs shall perform the prescribed duties and functions.

Should the Vice President of Student Affairs be unavailable, require recusal, or otherwise be unable to fulfill the duties and functions codified in the student conduct procedures, the University President shall appoint a member of the President's Cabinet to perform the prescribed duties and functions.

The following student conduct code violations may follow a different procedure that is prescribed by a university regulation or policy:

SCC 100 Academic Misconduct SCC 700 Copyright Infringement SCC 2800 Sexual Misconduct

Student Housing Contract.

Students residing in University Housing must comply with the terms and conditions of their Housing Contract. The Director of Housing & Residence Education may resolve/remedy minor breaches of the Housing Contract without referral to the student conduct process for some behaviors which are also prohibited by the Any Student Conduct Code. resolution/remedy shall be in accordance with the Contract published Housing and the administrative fees. The Office of Student Housing shall provide notification of the contract breach and any resultant resolution, remedy, and/or fee in writing to the student(s) found in For contract breaches that also breach. constitute a Student Conduct Code violation, if a student disputes the contract determination, then the student may request that their case be referred to the student conduct process for adjudication. Such a request must be submitted by the student to the Office of Student Housing within five (5) business days of the written notification.

The Student Conduct Codes applicable for this paragraph are:

SCC 1900 Key/Card Fraudulent Use

SCC 2300 Prohibited Animals SCC 2500 Property Damage SCC 2700 Residence Hall Infractions SCC 2770 Safety Infractions

Student Conduct Records and Release of Information.

- 1. Conduct records, except for Title IX, are maintained by the Dean of Students within the Office of Student Affairs. The Dean of Students is responsible for safeguarding the conduct records and ensuring privacy. The University Title IX Coordinator maintains and safeguards the Title IX Sexual Misconduct records.
- 2. Files documenting academic integrity code violations, suspensions, and dismissals, including any records of previous student conduct action, shall be indefinitely maintained by the Dean of Students in accordance with KRS 164.370. The student's admission record will indicate any action that prohibits readmission as long as the suspension/dismissal is in effect.
- 3. Files documenting student conduct violations, other than those listed in paragraph 2, will be retained until three (3) years after graduation or three (3) years after last date of attendance or three (3) years after all sanctions have been met, whichever is longer, in accordance with KRS 164.370.
- 4. If a student fails to complete a sanction, then the student's record will be annotated so as to restrict that student from registering for new courses. Once the sanction has been competed, removed by expiration, or by action of the Dean of Students, then the notation will be removed from the record by the Dean of Students.
- 5. Disciplinary Holds. The Dean of Students, or designee, may place an administrative hold on a student's account in order to compel the student's compliance with a reasonable request, administrative protocol, student conduct process, or sanction.
- 6. Reports. The University shall electronically publish reports of student discipline in accordance with KRS 164.370.

The following behaviors/actions are prohibited and constitute a violation of the Student Conduct Code:

SCC 100 Academic Misconduct (Academic Honesty)¹

Last Revised: 8/01/2020

Intentionally assisting or participating in cheating, plagiarism, and/or other forms of fraudulent misrepresentation of academic work.

SCC 150 Academic Disruption

Last Revised: 8/01/2020

Engaging in behavior that intentionally, materially, and substantially disrupts the educational process associated with teaching, research, or other activities related to the academic mission of the university; or otherwise creates the conditions in which teaching cannot continue.

SCC 200 Aiding and Abetting

Last Revised: 8/01/2012

Assisting with, having knowledge of without reporting, or inciting violations of the Student Conduct Code or other University policies and/or regulations.

SCC 300 Alcohol

Last Revised: 8/01/2021
Any of the following:

- a. Possession. Possessing or consuming alcoholic beverages on University-owned or controlled property, or while participating in University related activities which include student teaching, internships, class trips, etc.
- b. Distribution. Providing alcoholic beverages to others on University-owned or controlled property, or while participating in University related activities.
- c. Paraphernalia. Possession of items on University-owned or controlled property, or while participating in University related activities that are used to store, distribute, conceal or ingest alcohol (i.e., kegs, beer bongs, empty containers, etc.).
- d. Intoxication. Exhibiting behavior under the influence of alcohol that unreasonably annoys others in the vicinity, or that endangers self/others or property.

SCC 400 Assault

Last Revised: 8/01/2017

Intentionally causing, or attempting to cause, physical injury to another person. This policy is applicable on University-owned or controlled property, or while participating in University related activities (e.g. student teaching, internships, class trips). The standard is applicable off-campus when: 1) all parties involved are MSU employees or students; or 2) the behavior threatens the health, welfare, safety, or educational environment of the University community or any individual member thereof; or 3) the behavior reflects adversely upon the student's character and fitness as a member of the student body and/or the reputation of Morehead State University.

SCC 500 Commercial Solicitation

Last Revised: 8/01/2019

Distributing, promoting, selling, advertising, or collecting information or material goods on University property or within University-owned facilities for commercial purposes without authorization per UAR 322. Requesting that someone engage in the aforementioned activities on behalf of an individual or organization.

SCC 600 Computer Infraction

Last Revised: 8/01/2017 Any of the following:

a. Fraud. Using information technology or communication systems to disseminate, transfer, enter, alter, or gather data by using another person's or organization's access code or technology/communication equipment without their permission.

b. Misconduct. Using University-owned or provided equipment or internet access systems in any manner that is prohibited by the Student Conduct Code. MSU policies; or local, state or federal law.

SCC 700 Copyright Infringement ¹

Last Revised: 8/01/2018

Reproducing or distributing copyrighted materials without approval from the copyright owner. Using technology, devices, or services to circumvent measures that control access to copyrighted works. Refer to PG-55 Technology Resource Acceptable Use for additional information.

SCC 800 Discrimination

Last Revised: 8/01/2023

Unlawfully discriminating against another person on a basis protected by the University Nondiscrimination Statement.

SCC 900 Disorderly Conduct

Last Revised: 8/01/2019
Any of the following:

- a. Acting or inciting others to act in a manner that substantially disrupts or interferes with the normal operation of the University or infringes on the rights of other members of the University community.
- b. Engaging in conduct that intentionally, materially, and substantially disrupts another's expressive activity if that activity is occurring in a campus space previously scheduled or reserved for that activity or under exclusive use or control of a particular group.
- c. Interfering with or obstructing the duties of any University administrator, faculty, staff member or local authority.

SCC 1000 Drugs

Last Revised: 8/01/2021 Any of the following:

- a. Possession. Possessing or using illegal drugs/controlled substances, including controlled medications without a valid prescription, on University-owned or controlled property, or while participating in University related activities which include student teaching, internships, class trips, etc.
- b. Distribution. Providing illegal drugs/controlled substances, including controlled medications without a valid prescription, to others on University-owned or controlled property, or while participating in University related activities.
- c. Paraphernalia. Possession of drug paraphernalia on University-owned or controlled property, or while participating in University related activities.
- d. Intoxication. Exhibiting behavior under the influence of illegal drugs/controlled substances that unreasonably annoys others in the vicinity, or that endangers self/others or property.

SCC 1100 Failure to Comply

Last Revised: 8/01/2023

Any of the following:

- a. Failure to respond to the reasonable requests of University officials or law enforcement officers acting in performance of their duties.
- b. Failing to produce identification when requested to do so by University officials or law enforcement officers acting in performance of their duties.
- c. Failing to abide by sanctions or interim measures.
- d. Failing to abide by public or institutional health and/or safety mandates

SCC 1150 Failure to Comply with Public Safety

Last Revised: 8/01/2021

Failing to obey, follow, or conform to public or institutional health and/or safety mandates.

SCC 1300 Forgery

Last Revised: 8/01/2012

Altering, creating, completing, executing, falsifying, or authenticating any school record document, instrument, or identification card with the intent to defraud or harm any individual.

SCC 1400 Fraud

Last Revised: 8/01/2021

Deceiving, tricking, or misrepresenting with the intent of defrauding or misleading another individual or the University.

SCC 1500 General Policies

Last Revised: 8/01/2012

Violating any rule, regulation, or policy established by the Board of Regents, the President of the University or an authorized representative, any college, division, department, office, or other authorized University employee within the scope of his/her authority. Such rules, regulations, and policies will be published, posted, or otherwise publicized in a fashion that allows students opportunities to have adequate knowledge of said information.

SCC 1600 Harassment

Last Revised: 8/01/2017

Any of the following:

- a. Any behavior that is threatening or intimidating and which places a person in reasonable fear of harm to person or property.
- b. Any behavior that creates a hostile environment by substantially interfering with or impairing the person's educational performance, opportunities or benefits.
- c. Any conduct or pattern of behavior directed at an individual or a group in a manner that is unwelcome and, under the totality of the circumstances, is so severe or pervasive that it undermines or detracts from the person's educational or work opportunities or participation in University activities, effectively denying equal access to University resources and opportunities.

SCC 1800 Hazing

Last Revised: 8/01/2023

Any of the following:

- a. Any action or situation which recklessly or intentionally endangers mental or physical health or involves the forced consumption of liquor or drugs for the purpose of initiation into or affiliation with any organization recruitment initiation into, affiliation with, or enhancing or maintaining membership or status within any organization, including but not limited to a student organization, Greek organization, association, corporation, order, society, corps, club, team, or similar group. Pursuant to KRS 164.290 such action may result in suspension or dismissal from the University.
- b. Acting in a manner or creating a situation which subjects another, voluntarily or involuntarily, to abuse, mistreatment, degradation, humiliation, harm or intimidation. Pursuant to KRS 164.290 such action may result in suspension or dismissal from the University.

SCC 1900 Key/Card Fraudulent Use

Last Revised: 8/01/2023

Any of the following:

- a. Using another person's EagleCard (University ID), University keys, or door access card.
- b. Permitting the fraudulent use of an EagleCard, University keys, or door access card.
- c. Possessing, duplicating, destroying, or modifying an EagleCard (University ID), University keys, or door access cards to any University premises without proper authorization.

SCC 2000 Key/Card Misuse

Last Revised: 8/01/2021

Possessing, duplicating, destroying, or modifying an EagleCard (University ID), University keys, or door access cards to any University premises without proper authorization.

SCC 2100 Lying

Last Revised: 8/01/2012

Intentionally providing false information to, or filing false charges against, another person or organization.

SCC 2300 Prohibited Animals

Last Revised: 8/01/2019
Any of the following:

- a. Possessing an animal in a University non-residential facility, other than a service animal trained to provide assistance to a person with a disability.
- b. Possessing an unauthorized animal in a University residential facility, other than an assistance animal that has been approved as a reasonable accommodation by the University.
- c. Possessing an animal on University property that damages property, substantially disrupts the University community, or exhibits aggressive behavior.
- d. Failing to maintain an animal on a leash, maintain control of an animal, or properly dispose of animal waste.

SCC 2400 Possession of Stolen Property

Last Revised: 8/01/2012

Receiving, retaining, storing, or disposing of movable property which belongs to another person knowing that it has been stolen, or having reason to believe that it has been stolen unless it is clear that the property is received, retained, or stored with the specific intent to restore it to the proper owner.

SCC 2500 Property Damage

Last Revised: 8/01/2023

Causing or assisting with the misuse, vandalism, malicious, or unwarranted damage or destruction, defacement, disfiguration, or unauthorized use of property belonging to the University or another person or organization. Examples include, but are not limited to, fire alarms, fire equipment, elevators, telephones, keys, library materials, statues, artwork, or vehicles.

SCC 2700 Residence Hall Infractions

Last Revised: 8/01/2017

Failure to adhere to the guidelines as outlined in the "Housing Policies and Guidelines" (see Office of Housing website).

SCC 2750 Retaliation

Last Revised: 8/06/2018

Retaliating, or encouraging others to retaliate, against another student for making an inquiry, participating in an investigation, or making a reasonable good faith report of possible non-compliance with laws and regulations. Procedure for this code violation may be prescribed by PG-5, PG-6, or PG-61.

SCC 2770 Safety Infractions

Last Revised: 8/06/2023

Any of the following:

a. Fire Safety.

- 1. Willfully or maliciously burning or attempting to burn property.
- 2. Willfully failing to exit a University-owned building upon activation of a fire alarm or direction from designed authorities or personnel.
- 3. Tampering with, obstructing, or inappropriately using fire equipment (e.g. fire extinguishers, smoke detectors, etc.).
- 4. Using, possessing, or improperly storing hazardous materials (e.g. fireworks, propane tanks) on University-owned or controlled property.
- b. Obstruction of Movement. Preventing the free movement of a person and/or vehicle, or restricting the access to or egress from a designated passageway, or blocking/obstructing an exit from a room or building.

- c. Recreational Equipment Safety. Using or storing bicycles, scooters, in-line skates, skateboards, hoverboards and other recreational equipment on any University-owned property in a manner that causes (or may cause) damage, hazardous conditions, or harm to others.
- d. Property Safety. Willfully dropping or throwing substances or items out of a window or off of a balcony or walkway; or tampering with safety railings or window restrictors.

SCC 2800 Sexual Misconduct 1

Last Revised: 8/07/2018

Engaging in behavior that includes, but is not limited to, sexual harassment, sexual assault, intimate partner violence, sexual exploitation, and stalking. Engaging in, or advocating engagement in, inappropriate sexual acts such as indecent exposure or sexual activity in public. Refer to PG-6 Sexual Misconduct Policy for additional information.

SCC 3100 Theft

Last Revised: 8/01/2012

Unlawfully taking (or attempting to take) property belonging to the University, members of the Morehead State University community, visitors, guests, or another person or organization.

SCC 3200 Tobacco

Last Revised: 8/01/2019

Using or distributing tobacco, products giving the appearance of tobacco, or electronic nicotine delivery systems (e.g. vaporizers, e-cigarettes) while on University owned, leased, or controlled property unless excluded by UAR 902 Morehead State University Designated Smoking Areas. The violation includes conduct while in University owned, leased, or rented vehicles at any location, and privately owned vehicles that are parked on, or in transit across, University property. Refer to PG-64 University Tobacco Use Policy for additional information.

SCC 3300 Trespassing

Last Revised: 8/01/2012

Entering a building or area where the individual has been informed by University officials and/or law enforcement officers that s/he has been restricted from that facility or location.

SCC 3400 Unauthorized Entry

Last Revised: 8/01/2021

Any of the following:

- a. Entering a closed or restricted University-owned or controlled facility or area without proper authorization from University officials.
- b. Being in a University-owned or controlled facility after designated hours of operation without written permission from designated officials.

SCC 3500 Unauthorized Use of Recording

Last Revised: 8/01/2019

Using an electronic device to record (without prior permission from the person(s) being recorded): 1) another person in which the person has a reasonable expectation of privacy; or 2) an exam or a meeting closed pursuant to the Kentucky Open Meetings Act. Use of an electronic recording device is permissible and authorized when appropriately used as a reasonable accommodation in accordance with ADA policies and laws.

SCC 3600 Violation of Law

Last Revised: 8/01/2012

Committing any act that is in violation of federal, state, and local laws or regulations, whether on or off campus, when it appears that the student has acted in a manner that adversely impacts or interferes with the University's normal function, or which injures or endangers the general welfare of the University community.

SCC 3700 Weapons

Last Revised: 8/01/2021 Any of the following:

- a. Dangerous Weapons. Possessing any dangerous weapon, regardless of concealment or license to possess said weapon, while on University-owned or controlled property, or at University-sponsored or supervised activities without proper authorization from the President of the University or his/her designee, in accordance with the University Weapons Policy, PG-62.
- b. Replicas & Other Dangerous Items. Possessing any replica or look-alike of a deadly weapon (including air or paintball guns), devices designed to explode or render smoke, or devices intended to incapacitate (including Tasers) while on University-owned or controlled property, or at University-sponsored or supervised activities without written authorization from University officials.

¹ Procedure for this code violation may be prescribed by another university policy or regulation.

SANCTIONS

Students who are found responsible for violating the guidelines established within the Student Conduct Code shall be subject to one or more of the following sanctions:

Protective & Disciplinary Sanctions

Dismissal:

Dismissal from the University for an indefinite period of time, and may be imposed even though the student has not received a previous sanction of suspension, warning or probation. Dismissal includes the restriction that the student may not be on University property or attend University events. Only when a sanction of dismissal has been removed by action of the President or his/her designee may a student apply for readmission. Normally, dismissed students must wait two years before requesting removal of the dismissal sanction from their records and annually thereafter. Students who have been dismissed must meet all other University requirements before being reinstated.

Suspension:

Suspension from the University for a specific period of time as determined by a designated University official. The sanction of suspension may be imposed even though the student has not received a previous sanction of warning or probation. Suspension includes the restriction that the student may not be on University property or attend University events. Only when the designated period of suspension has expired may a student apply for readmission to the University. Students who have been suspended must meet all other University requirements before being reinstated.

Interim Suspension:

The Vice President for Student Affairs or the Dean of Students may suspend a student on an interim or temporary basis when there is a determination that the student's presence is of a serious or immediate threat to the university community, or when under crisis or emergency circumstances. The student retains the right of a hearing as outlined in the Eagle Student Handbook. Under the terms of interim suspension a student may be asked to leave the campus immediately.

University Housing Dismissal:

Indefinite removal of student and ban from university housing. The sanction of dismissal from a residence hall may be imposed even though the student has not received a previous sanction of warning or probation.

University Housing Suspension:

Removal and ban of student from university housing for a specific period of time. sanction of suspension from a residence hall may be imposed even though the student has not received a previous sanction of warning or probation. Only when the designated period of suspension has expired may a student apply to live in University-owned housing facilities. Students who have been suspended from University Housing must meet all other sanctioning requirements before being reinstated.

Restriction:

An official notice that a student may not be present or have access, or may have limits to access, to a place, service, event, or function. Restrictions will be imposed for designated periods of time, and the parameters will be given to students in written form.

Administrative Loss of Privileges:

Suspension of a student privilege to participate in a university recognized role, event, or activity. Loss of privileges will be for a designated period of time, and the parameters will be given to student in written form.

No Contact Order:

A restriction prohibiting any type of contact with another person. No contact restrictions include, but are not limited to, phone calls, text messages, social media, and/or third party messages.

Fine:

A financial penalty to hold students accountable for their actions or to pay for resources required to fund another sanction.

Parent Letter:

A copy of the student's conduct decision letter and sanctions sent to the student's parent/guardian in accordance with the parameters of FERPA.

Warnings

Probated Dismissal:

A written notification that further violation of University policies, procedures, or regulations may result in a hearing to determine if the student should be dismissed from the University. Probated dismissal will be for a specific period of time.

Probated Suspension:

A written notification that further violation of University policies, procedures, or regulations may result in a hearing to determine if the student should be suspended from the University. Probated suspension will be for a specific period of time.

Probated Housing Suspension:

A written notification that further violation of University policies, procedures, or regulations may result in the removal of the student from university housing for a specific period of time as determined by a designated University official.

Housing Probation:

A written notice that any further violation of the student conduct code occurring in a University residential facility will result in a Probated Housing Suspension or may result in hearing for Housing Suspension. Housing Probation may include designated restrictions on the student.

Probation:

A written notice that any further violation of the student conduct code will result in more serious sanctions. Probation may include designated restrictions on the student.

Formal Reprimand:

A written notice advising that the student's behavior has been found in violation of the Student Conduct Code. In addition, the student is advised against recurrence of behavior in violation of the Student Conduct Code.

Restorative Sanctions

Community Service:

Required performance of community service hours at a location either on or off campus. Students who do not complete their community restitution hours in a timely fashion, or who do not represent the University in a positive fashion while performing their community restitution

hours, will be subject to further disciplinary action and/or payment of financial restitution in the amount equal to the assigned number of community restitution hours multiplied by minimum wage.

Financial Restitution:

Restitution requires the reimbursement for damages, misappropriation of property, financial loss due to fraud or gross negligence, or for personal injury cost.

Other Restorative Actions:

Restorative actions require a student to engage in actions to repair the harm caused by the misconduct. Actions may include mediation, letters of apology, attendance of a program or event, completing a bulletin board or presentation, or other similar activities or actions.

Educational Sanctions

Community Service:

Required performance of community service hours at a location either on or off campus. Students who do not complete their community restitution hours in a timely fashion, or who do not represent the University in a positive fashion while performing their community restitution hours, will be subject to further disciplinary action and/or payment of financial restitution in the amount equal to the assigned number of community restitution hours multiplied by minimum wage.

Referral to Counseling & Health Services:

Referral requires a student to visit the University Counseling & Health Services for an initial evaluation and follow-through on any prescribed treatment program. Although the content of sessions will remain confidential, the student will be required to sign a waiver allowing the Counseling & Health Services to communicate with the Dean of Students regarding the student's commitment to scheduling and attending required meetings.

Alcohol/Drug Assessment:

Requires a student to meet with a qualified substance use/abuse counselor for an initial evaluation and follow-through on any prescribed education or treatment program and provide proof of completion or continued treatment.

Reflection Paper:

An assigned paper that requires the student to reflect on their experiences, decision-making, impact, and future actions.

Other Educational Sanctions:

Other educational sanctions intended to promote student learning such as research projects, writing assignments, meeting with designated University officials, etc.

Student Organization Sanctions. The following sanctions apply to student organizations found responsible for violations of the Student Conduct Code.

Loss of Recognition:

A permanent loss of the registered student organization's relationship with the University, including loss of all privileges associated with organizational membership. The Vice President for Student Affairs or the Dean of Students may impose the sanction of Loss of Recognition.

Suspension of Student Organization:

A temporary loss of the registered student organization's relationship with the University, including loss of all privileges associated with organizational membership, for a specified period of time and/or mandated requirement. The Vice President for Student Affairs or the Dean of Students may impose the sanction of Suspension of Student Organization.

Organizational Probation:

A notification that any further violation of the Student Conduct Code may result in a hearing with a recommendation of Loss of Recognition or Suspension of Student Organization. Notification will be sent to any national or regional organization with whom the student organization is affiliated, if applicable. The period of probation shall be specified. An administrative officer may impose the sanction of Organizational Probation.

Organizational Warning:

Official notification the organization's behavior violates the Student Conduct Code and that any future violation may result in more severe disciplinary action. Notification will be sent to any national or regional organization with whom the

student organization is affiliated, if applicable. An administrative officer may impose the sanction of Organizational warning.

Restitution:

A reimbursement for a loss caused by the student organization's actions. An administrative officer may impose the sanction of Restitution.

Fine:

A penalty to hold student organizations financially accountable for their actions and/or to fund other activities. An administrative officer may impose the sanction of Fine.

Community Service:

Required performance of community service hours at a location either on or off campus as determined. An administrative officer or student judicial body may impose the sanction of Community Service.

Restrictions:

Restriction of some or all of the organization's activities or privileges, including, but not limited to, social privileges and recruitment privileges. An administrative officer or student judicial body may impose the sanction of Restrictions.

Organizational Review:

A notification that a student organization is beginning to show a pattern of concerning behavior. The organization must conduct a self-assessment, develop a corrective action plan, and provide proof of implementation by a specified date. An administrative officer or student judicial body may impose the sanction of Organizational Review.

Educational Sanctions:

Projects or assignments designed to educate an organization in connection with the effect of its members' actions. Educational assignments include, but are not limited to, alcohol awareness programs and/or risk management programs. An administrative efficer or student judicial body may impose the sanction of Educational Sanctions.

Other Sanctions:

Other actions to engage the organization to repair the harm caused by the misconduct or mitigate the risk of future noncompliance.

That the Board of Regents, upon recommendation of the President, certify the attached Governing Board statement for the Ohio Valley Conference.

Background:

Morehead State University is a founding member of the Ohio Valley Conference (OVC), and its athletic teams (with the exception of football) compete in the OVC throughout the year. The Conference asks that the governing board of each institution certify that:

- 1) Responsibility for the administration of the athletics program has been delegated to the Chief Executive Officer of the institution.
- 2) The Chief Executive Officer has the mandate and support of the board to operate a program of integrity in full compliance with NCAA, OVC, and all other relevant rules and regulations.
- 3) The Chief Executive Officer, in conjunction with the Director of Athletics and Faculty Athletic Representative, determines how the institutional vote shall be cast on issues of athletics policy presented to the NCAA and the Ohio Valley Conference.

Approval by the Board of this certification would authorize the Board Chair to sign the attached document. This document is an annual certification form.



Governing Board Certification Form Academic Year 2023-24

As C	nairman of the Governing Board at, I attest that:
1)	Responsibility for the administration of the athletics program has been delegated to the Chief Executive Officer of the institution.
2)	The Chief Executive Officer has the mandate and support of the board to operate a program of integrity in full compliance with NCAA, OVC, and all other relevant rules and regulations.
3)	The Chief Executive Officer, in conjunction with the Director of Athletics and Faculty Athletic Representative, determines how the institutional vote shall be case on issues of athletics policy presented to the NCAA and the Ohio Valley Conference.
Date	Presented to the Governing Board:
0	ed:ir of the Governing Board)

 ${\it Please \ return \ completed \ form \ to:}$

Beth DeBauche Commissioner Ohio Valley Conference 215 Centerview Drive, Suite 115 Brentwood, TN 37027 bdebauche@ovc.org

Due to the recent cyberattack and expenses associated with it, it is recommended that the 2023-2024 budget resolution be amended to allow the President to make adjustments to a division of the University's budget in excess of seven percent without prior approval of the Board for a period up to December 31, 2023.

Background:

On June 15, 2023 the Board of Regents adopted the 2023-2024 budget resolution which allows the President to authorize an increase in the unrestricted current funds expenditure budget in an amount not greater than five percent of the Board's authorized expenditure level. The Board may ratify increases and reauthorize expenditure levels within the five percent cap during a regular or special Board meeting. Increases greater than five percent of the authorized expenditure budget must have prior approval of the Board.

The resolution also provided the President authorization to approve internal operating budget adjustments as the President determines such adjustments to be in the best interest of the University. Except, if adjustments to any one of the four divisions (i.e. Academic Affairs, Administration & Fiscal Services, Student Affairs, and University Advancement), increase the total operating expenditure authorization of a division by more than seven percent, then it must have prior approval of the Board.

It is recommended that the 2023-2024 budget resolution be amended to allow the President to make adjustments to a division of the University's budget in excess of seven percent without prior approval of the Board.

insert tab page with REPORTS on tab

BOR VI-B-1 August 18, 2023

REPORT ON PERSONAL SERVICE CONTRACTS

The attached list of personal service contracts represents all such contracts issued with amounts greater than \$10,000 between May 10, 2023 through July 10, 2023.

(Please see report in BOR Member Folder)

BOR VI-B-1 REPORT ON A/E PERSONAL SERVICE CONTRACTS August 18, 2023

The attached list of design projects through the Architectural and Engineering personal service contracts represents all such design projects between May 10, 2023 through July 10, 2023.

(Please see report in Board Member Folder)

ANNUAL REPORT ON REAL PROPERTY LEASES

The attached rep	port contains	a list of real	property	leases

(Please see report in Board Member Folder)

insert tab page here with RECOMMENDATIONS on tab.

That the Board of Regents, upon recommendation of the President, approve the initiation of the Nunn Hall Renovation Asset Preservation Capital Project and declare its official intent to reimburse capital expenditures from future debt obligations for the project.

Background:

On June 15, 2023, the Board of Regents approved the 2023-2024 Budget Adoption Resolution which requires all capital construction projects of \$1,000,000 or greater to have prior approval of the Board of Regents and be contained in the Biennial Legislative Appropriations Act in accordance with KRS 45.750. In the 2022-2024 Biennial Budget, the University received approval for \$20,253,000 to be utilized for Asset Preservation Pool Projects in the 2023-2024 fiscal year with \$17,611,000 to be provided from Bonds to be issued by the Commonwealth of Kentucky and \$2,642,000 to be matched by the University. The Biennial Budget also provided bonding authorization for the University's required match.

It is recommended that \$3,200,000 of the Asset Preservation Pool authorization be utilized in the 2023-2024 fiscal year to support the Nunn Hall Renovation capital project. The Commonwealth will provide \$2,782,609 with the remaining \$417,391 being funded by the University.

The United States Department of Treasury regulations related to the use of proceeds of tax-exempt bonds or notes, require that the borrower make a Declaration of Official Intent to reimburse itself for capital expenditures made prior to the issuance of debt (also known as a "Reimbursement Resolution") if the issuer intends to reimburse itself from tax-exempt debt proceeds. The reimbursement of the capital expenditure must occur no later than 18 months after the date of the Declaration of Official intent. Approval of this resolution will serve as the declaration of this intent related to this project.

That the Board of Regents, upon recommendation of the President, approve the initiation of the Asset Preservation Pool Projects listed below which have individual scopes of less than \$1,000,000 and a cumulative total of \$570,000. Furthermore, declaring its official intent to reimburse capital expenditures from future debt obligations for all projects listed below or combination thereof.

Background:

In the 2022-2024 Biennial Budget, the University received approval for \$20,253,000 to be utilized for Asset Preservation Pool Projects in the 2023-2024 fiscal year with \$17,611,000 provided from Bonds to be issued by the Commonwealth of Kentucky and \$2,642,000 to be matched by the University. The Biennial Budget also provided bonding authorization for the University's required match.

Building	Project	Scope
Ginger Hall	2nd Floor Renovation	\$250,000
Laughlin Health	Exterior repair / utility and water relocation	\$320,000

The United States Department of Treasury regulations related to the use of proceeds of tax-exempt bonds or notes, require that the borrower make a Declaration of Official Intent to reimburse itself for capital expenditures made prior to the issuance of debt (also known as a "Reimbursement Resolution") if the issuer intends to reimburse itself from tax-exempt debt proceeds. The reimbursement of the capital expenditure must occur no later than 18 months after the date of the Declaration of Official intent. Approval of this resolution will serve as the declaration of this intent related to these Asset Preservation Pool projects.

That the Board of Regents, upon recommendation of the President, approve the initiation of the Water Basin Asset Preservation Capital Project and declare its official intent to reimburse capital expenditures from future debt obligations for the project.

Background:

On June 15, 2023, the Board of Regents approved the 2023-2024 Budget Adoption Resolution which requires all capital construction projects of \$1,000,000 or greater to have prior approval of the Board of Regents and be contained in the Biennial Legislative Appropriations Act in accordance with KRS 45.750. In the 2022-2024 Biennial Budget, the University received approval for \$20,253,000 to be utilized for Asset Preservation Pool Projects in the 2023-2024 fiscal year with \$17,611,000 to be provided from Bonds to be issued by the Commonwealth of Kentucky and \$2,642,000 to be matched by the University. The Biennial Budget also provided bonding authorization for the University's required match.

It is recommended that \$2,800,000 of the Asset Preservation Pool authorization be utilized in the 2023-2024 fiscal year to support the Water Basin capital project. The Commonwealth will provide \$2,434,783 with the remaining \$365,217 being funded by the University.

The United States Department of Treasury regulations related to the use of proceeds of tax-exempt bonds or notes, require that the borrower make a Declaration of Official Intent to reimburse itself for capital expenditures made prior to the issuance of debt (also known as a "Reimbursement Resolution") if the issuer intends to reimburse itself from tax-exempt debt proceeds. The reimbursement of the capital expenditure must occur no later than 18 months after the date of the Declaration of Official intent. Approval of this resolution will serve as the declaration of this intent related to this project.

APPROVE ASSET PRESERVATION POOL CAPITAL PROJECTS REIMBURSEMENT

BOR VI-C-3 AUGUST 18, 2023

Recommendation:

That the Board of Regents, upon recommendation by the President, approve and hereby declare its official intent to reimburse the University for capital expenditures made on all Asset Preservation Pool Projects prior to the issuance of bonds.

Background:

In the 2022-2024 Biennial Budget, the University received approval for \$20,253,000 to be utilized for Asset Preservation Pool Projects in the 2023-2024 fiscal year with \$17,611,000 to be provided from Bonds to be issued by the Commonwealth of Kentucky and \$2,642,000 to be matched by the University. The Biennial Budget also provided bonding authorization for the University's required match.

The United States Department of Treasury regulations related to the use of proceeds of tax-exempt bonds or notes, require that the borrower make a Declaration of Official Intent to reimburse itself for capital expenditures made prior to the issuance of debt (also known as a "Reimbursement Resolution") if the issuer intends to reimburse itself from tax-exempt debt proceeds. The reimbursement of the capital expenditure must occur no later than 18 months after the date of the Declaration of Official intent. Approval of this resolution will serve as the declaration of this intent related to all Asset Preservation Pool projects.

That the Board of Regents, upon recommendation of the President, approve the Order to Dispose of Property relating to the razing of Wetherby Gymnasium.

Background:

Wetherby Gymnasium has become surplus to the needs of the University. Renovation of the building is not economically feasible. The best interest of the University would be served by razing the structure and using the land for future development in accordance with the University's Campus Master Plan. Authorization is being requested from the Board to raze Wetherby Gymnasium which is surplus to the University's needs, unsuitable for occupancy and not economically feasible to renovate.

Statutory Authority

KRS 164A.575 (7) provides that the governing board shall sell or otherwise dispose of all real or personal property of the institution that is not needed for the institution's use, or would be more suitable consistent with the public interest for some other use, as determined by the board. The determination of the board shall be set forth in an order, and shall be reached only after review of a written request by the institution desiring to dispose of the property. Such request shall describe the property and state the reasons why the institution believes disposal shall be effected.

Written Request to Dispose of Property

Wetherby Gymnasium was constructed in 1956 and served as a central facility for MSU Athletics until the early 1980's when the Academic-Athletic Center (AAC) was constructed and brought on line. After the 1980's Wetherby Gymnasium continued to be used for various campus, community and athletic events, including the MSU Women's Volleyball Team. In 2018 the AAC natatorium was converted to a practice facility for MSU Athletics, including Women's Volleyball, at which time Wetherby Gymnasium ceased to be utilized.

In 2018 the roof began leaking and it was determined to be beyond repair at that time. The facility sustained significant damage to the roof again in 2022 during a high wind storm event. As required by state statute, MSU continued to carry insurance coverage on the facility even though it was not being occupied. State Risk recently settled the insurance claim for the roof replacement in the amount of \$254,750. State Risk has advised that the insurance proceeds can be used for demolition of the facility. Total cost to raze the Gymnasium and ready the site for future parking is estimated to cost \$350,000.

ORDER TO DISPOSE OF PROPERTY

WHEREAS, upon the recommendation of the President on August 18, 2023, the Board of Regents has reviewed the Written Request to Dispose of Property in reference to the razing of Wetherby Gymnasium.

WHEREAS, the President of Morehead State University declares that the referenced building have become surplus to the University's needs, and

WHEREAS, the removal of the building referenced above is consistent with the University's Campus Master Plan adopted by the Board, and is in the best interest of the University and the Commonwealth of Kentucky.

BE IT RESOLVED, that the President is authorized to proceed with the razing and removal of Wetherby Gymnasium.

RECOMMENDED	:	
	Joseph A. Morgan, President	Date
APPROVED:		
	Eric E. Howard, Chair	Date
	MSU Board of Regents	

NOTES

NOTES

MOREHEAD STATE UNIVERSITY BOARD OF REGENTS QUARTERLY MEETING

Adron Doran University Center (ADUC), Room 329
Friday, August 18, 2023
9:00 a.m.—Light Breakfast and Individual Photos As Regents Arrive
9:15 a.m. – Board Group Photo
9:30 a.m. – Quarterly Board Meeting
12:00 p.m.— Lunch
12:30 p.m.— Campus Tour
1:15 p.m.— New Regent Orientation

AGENDA

PRE-MEETING ACTIVITIES—9:00 a.m. to 9:30 a.m.

- COFFEE, LIGHT BREAKFAST, AND INDIVIDUAL PHOTOS—starting at 9:00 a.m.
- BOARD GROUP PHOTO—9:15 a.m.
 (Please wear professional attire and MSU colors if possible.)

QUARTERLY BOARD MEETING – 9:30 a.m.

- I. CALL TO ORDER
- II. ROLL CALL
- III. OATH OF OFFICE ADMINISTERED TO NEW REGENTS, Judge David Barber
 - Ms. Presley Boyer, Student Regent
 - Dr. Joyce Stubbs, Faculty Regent
 - Mr. John Holbrook, Appointee
 - Ms. Rhonda Blackburn, Appointee
- IV. ELECTION OF CHAIR, VICE CHAIR AND SECRETARY, APPOINT TREASURER
- V. FACULTY, STAFF AND STUDENT RECOGNITION
- VI. PRESIDENT'S RECOMMENDATIONS & REPORTS
 - A. Consent Agenda (Action)

1. Approve Minutes of June 15, 2023 Quarterly Board Meeting	3
2. Approve Athletic Director and Coaching Contracts	15
3. Approve Revisions to Student Code of Conduct	16
4. Approve OVC Governing Board Certification	38
5. Approve 2023-2024 Operating Budget	40

Page 1 of 2

В.	Reports and Presentations1. Personal Service Contracts
C.	Recommendations (Action)1. Approve Asset Preservation Projects: Nunn Hall, Ginger Hall, and Laughlin Health Building
D.	President's Report ○ Update on University Bond Sale ○ University Initiatives 2023-2024 ○ Feasibility Study for New Residential Hall

VII. OTHER BUSINESS

VIII. CLOSED EXECUTIVE SESSION—11:15 a.m.

The Closed Executive Session is called pursuant to KRS 61.810 (1) (c) to discuss matters relating to proposed or pending litigation against or on behalf of the University. The Board will take no action while in Closed Executive Session.

LUNCH - 12:00 p.m.

CAMPUS TOUR BY BUS - 12:30 p.m.

NEW REGENT ORIENTATION – 1:15-3:15 p.m. ADUC Room 329.

Required for new regents. All regents welcome.

Agenda is available online at http://www.moreheadstate.edu/bor

Page 2 of 2



Morehead State University is committed to providing equal educational opportunities to all persons regardless of race, color, national origin, age, religion, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, gender expression, disabled veterans, recently separated veterans, other protected veterans, and armed forces service medal veterans, or disability in its educational programs, services, activities, employment policies, and admission of students to any program of study. In this regard the University conforms to all the laws, statutes, and regulations concerning equal employment opportunities and affirmative action. This includes: Title

VI and Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972, Executive Orders 11246 and 11375, Equal Pay Act of 1963, Vietnam Era Veterans Readjustment Assistance Act of 1974, Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967, Sections 503 and 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, and Kentucky Revised Statutes 207.130 to 207.240; Chapter 344 and other applicable statutes. Vocational educational programs at Morehead State University supported by federal funds include industrial education, vocational agriculture, business education, and the associate degree program in nursing. Any inquiries should be addressed to: Affirmative Action Officer, Morehead State University, 301 Howell-McDowell Administration Building, Morehead, KY 40351, 606-783-2097.