

Faculty Senate Executive Council (EC)
Minutes for 2/27/2012

Members present: Doug Chatham, Royal Berglee, Ann Rathbun, Lee Nabb, Julia Hypes, Kim Sharp and Robert Royar. The meeting was held in the Senate Conference Room and called to order at 4:15 p.m.

Minutes: J. Hypes moved to approve the minutes of the EC from February 13th as submitted. D. Chatham seconded the motion. Motion passed.

Discussion:

- How Computer Competency will be administered; Difference between the Computer Competency Workgroup's plan and the plan presented by Charlie Patrick; K. Sharp will review the plan presented last year by the Computer Competency Workgroup and compare it to Dr. Patrick's most recent plan; Provost had asked if a survey of faculty was ever conducted regarding student computer competency or the lack of; Julia Hypes will ask Scott Wymer if such a survey has been conducted, if so, the Provost would like to see the results
- UPike situation and the Presidents' presentation to Senate and on KET; be careful not to let the Upike issue sidetrack work and progress on ongoing Senate business
- Kim and Doug attended the COSFL meeting on 2/22/12
- Debriefing regarding the lunch with President and Provost
- Multi-media will be installed beginning March 13th
- K. Sharp will not be at the Senate meeting on March 15th; Vice-Chair Chatham will preside over the meeting
- Revising FEPs in departments
- Speakers for Senate-Phil Gniot, Drew Henderson, President Andrews
 - Drew Henderson will meet with the EC only at a special called EC meeting on Monday, March 5th regarding IT maintenance; Chair Sharp will contact Mr. Henderson
 - The EC will request an online presentation of the recommendations of the Faculty Salary Model Workgroup from Mr. Gniot and the EC will present to the Senate
 - President will speak if necessary
- Order of Agenda for Thursday, March 1
 - Faculty Welfare and Concerns PAC 27; Senator Rathbun asked a question from a constituent "will PAC 27 affect me going up for tenure in fall 2012"? Discussed the College Committee in PAC 27. Senator Royar asked, "Are there restrictions for the University Tenure Committee for service on the Promotion Committee? It was a consensus of the members to make PAC 27 match PAC 2 in this regard. After discussion, the council added a sentence making PAC 27 match PAC 7 with regard to the time period when the new PAC will take effect on faculty already in the tenure process.
 - Evaluation 1st reading Faculty Evaluation Proposal; discussed UAR 120.01 Instruction Evaluation Plan, is it active or not and the difference between the UAR, PAC 7 and the proposal; L. Nabb will contact his committee to determine how they want to amend the proposal
 - Senate Committee on Issues resolution: Office of Faculty Ombudsperson and developed a PAC 33 regarding the Ombudsperson; Decided to make this only an internal resolution in Senate and give approval to the Committee on Issues to continue with the PAC

Council discussed voting on agenda items for the Senate year at the Retreat and then those items would not have to come back to the Senate floor until they were ready for approval.

Committee Interest Survey will end Wednesday, February 29, 2012.

Meeting Adjourned 6:20 pm