Analysis of skeletal development on the basis of body mass and bone resorption
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Abstract

Regression analysis was utilized to define the relationships among body weight, whole skeleton bone resorption (3H-tetracycline method), and the development of skeletal mass. The results indicated that skeletal development (% body weight) of slowly growing, 24-week-old rats consisted of two major components, one directly (r = 0.985, P < 0.001) and one inversely (r = 0.977, P < 0.001) related to body weight. It was further noted that the skeletal resorption rate was inversely correlated to body weight (r = 0.879, P < 0.05) and directly related to skeletal development (r = 0.865, P < 0.05), suggesting that whole skeleton bone resorption and formation were highly correlated in the slowly growing animal. A third small component of skeletal development identified in the analysis of data from 24-week-old animals, showed no direct relationship to either body weight or resorptive activity. The model presented enables the separation of skeleton mass into major components which may represent mechanically and metabolically driven bone formation. © 2000 Elsevier Science Inc. All rights reserved.
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Introduction

In a recent report (1), the whole skeleton mass of male and female rats of the Sprague-Dawley (SD), Wistar Kyoto (WKy), and spontaneously hypertensive (SHR) rat strains was determined. From this preliminary analysis a clear relationship between body weight and skeletal mass was observed. The analysis of these data have been extended with the surprising finding of similar relationships between body weight and skeleton mass in each of 4, 8, 16, and 24 week age groups of rats. At each age, approximately 2.2g of skeletal mass per 100g of body weight present in the rat was directly correlated to increased body weight, suggesting that the relationship between these parameters is constant across rat strain, gender,
and growth phase. A second component of skeletal mass that was independent of body weight was increased with age. In the present report, we have examined in greater detail the relationships among body weight (BW), whole skeleton bone resorption (R), and skeletal mass (SM) in 24 week old rats. The results indicate that skeletal development (Sd = (SM/BW)(100)) may be resolved into two major components. One component is directly correlated with body weight and may reflect skeletal bone formed and maintained in response to mechanical support demands. A second component is inversely related to Sd but is directly correlated with the rate of bone resorption, suggesting a portion of skeletal development potentially related to skeletal remodeling and body calcium homeostasis. Some of the data utilized in the present work has been published elsewhere (1,2).

Methods

Animals

The experiments performed in the study were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. All rats were maintained in colony rooms at 23 ± 2°C on a 12-h light/dark photoperiod. Animals received free access to Purina Rat Chow and tap water. The strains of rats utilized were Sprague-Dawley (SD/HJa BR, Hilltop Lab Animals, Scottsdale, PA), Wistar Kyoto (WKy/NCrlBR, Charles River, Wilmington, MA), and Aoki-Okamoto spontaneously hypertensive rats (SHR/NCrlBR Charles River, Wilmington, MA).

Dry skeletal mass determination

A total of 12 Sprague-Dawley (SD), 12 Wistar Kyoto (WKy), and 12 spontaneously hypertensive rats (SHR), including both sexes at 24 weeks of age were sacrificed for determination of body weight and dry skeletal mass. Animals were euthanized by CO2 inhalation and the body weight immediately determined. The carcasses were then stripped of as much soft tissue as possible prior to being placed on mesh trays in chambers containing Dermestid beetles (Dermestes maculatus, Carolina Biological Supply Co., Burlington, NC). The polished skeletons were cleaned by an ethyl alcohol soak followed with repeated rinses in distilled water. The cleaned skeletons were defatted by submerging each skeleton in 200 ml of 100% ethanol with the ethanol changed a minimum of three times during the two week interval in which the bones were extracted. Following the extraction, the skeletons were lyophilized to constant weight.

Measurement of whole skeleton bone resorption

In a separate experiment, a modified 3H-tetracycline protocol (2) was employed to measure whole skeleton bone resorption in the different rat strains. Thirteen days prior to the initiation of urine collection for 3H-tetracycline (NET-141 Tetracycline, [7-3H(N)]; New England Nuclear, Boston, MA) determination, groups of male and female rats (N = 6) of each rat strain (SD, WKy, SHR) at 22 weeks of age underwent a series of subcutaneous injections of 3H-tetracycline dissolved in 0.05M HCl containing ascorbic acid. At the conclusion of the labeling period, each of the rats received 35 µCi/rat in five injections on days 1, 4, 7, 11 and 14 of the labeling interval. Previous work (2) has shown that the kinetic profile of urinary 3H-tetracycline loss in animals. Their results indicate that skeletal development is calcium dependent. Folkl.

Results

Fig. 1 shows relationships between body weight and skeletal mass at the 25-15-5-1 level. The y-intercept of the curve best fit equati...
$^3$H-tetracycline loss of rats labeled for two weeks is identical to that of chronically labeled animals. Their results, therefore, indicate that the two week interval is adequate to label metabolically reactive sites of bone turnover in the skeletons of sedentary animals receiving 1% calcium diets. Following the final isotope injection, each rat was transferred to a standard metabolic cage and its food consumption, body weight, and urine volume were determined daily. The first 24-h urine was discarded and urine was thereafter collected at 24-h intervals for a period of 35 days. A 0.5 ml aliquot of each urine sample was combined with 10 ml of Scintiverse E (Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA) and the $^3$H-tetracycline content determined by liquid scintillation spectrometry. The urinary $^3$H-tetracycline data were normalized for dry skeletal mass at the end of isotope injections (1) and analyzed via Table Curve 2D Automated Curve Fitting Software (Jandel Scientific, San Rafael, CA) to determine the $^3$H-tetracycline curve best fit equation. The $^3$H-tetracycline urinary loss curves were then analyzed to obtain the y-intercept of the slow component, the rate of label loss at time zero with the pool fully labeled. This parameter was then used as the measure of rate of whole skeleton bone resorption in subsequent calculations.

**Results**

Fig. 1 shows regression analyses of the relationship between whole skeleton mass and body weight taken at different ages in rats from three strains showing significant differences.
in genetically determined body growth (data from DeMoss and Wright, 1998). The data suggest that at each age between 4 and 24 weeks, the skeletal mass present in the animal consisted of two components; (i) a component proportionately related to increasing body weight at about 2.2% of body weight, and; (ii) a second component of mass that was independent of increasing body weight. These results, therefore suggest that the portion of growth and maintenance of skeletal mass directly correlated with increasing body weight is constant across age, sex, and rat strain and may be calculated at approximately 2.2% of body weight. The second component of skeletal mass increased with age and is the cause of the increase in the ratio of skeletal mass to body weight with aging and small body size previously observed (1).

We have suggested that the portion of the skeletal mass developed independently of increasing body weight is related to body calcium homeostasis and may be independent of bone formed in direct response to mechanical strain (1). In the present analysis, the relationship between body weight and bone metabolic parameters with skeleton mass was examined in 24-week-old animals expected to have little additional skeletal growth with predominately bone remodeling activity. The three rat strains chosen for study represent a relatively wide range in body weight and skeletal bone resorption values (Table 1). Because each group of animals represents a different genetically determined body size, the average value of each group was utilized for regression analysis.

**24-Week-old rats**

The relationship between dry skeletal mass (SM) and body weight (BW) of mature 24-week-old rats (Fig. 2A) within the weight range of 200g to 575g (equation a) indicates that only a portion of skeleton mass is directly correlated with increasing body weight.

\[
SM = 0.022 \times BW + 6.48 \quad r = 0.985, \quad P < 0.001
\]

(a)

The contribution of the second component formed independently of body weight to the relationship between skeletal mass and body weight in the 24-week-old rat is emphasized when data are plotted as percent related with body weight (Fig. 2B).

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>24 Week</th>
<th>Body wt, g</th>
<th>Skeletal wt, g</th>
<th>Skelet/body, %</th>
<th>Bone resorption*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Male</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>509.1 ± 28.7</td>
<td>18.8 ± 0.7</td>
<td>3.7 ± 0.1</td>
<td>5.8 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WKy</td>
<td>578.0 ± 28.4</td>
<td>18.8 ± 0.5</td>
<td>3.3 ± 0.1</td>
<td>8.2 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHR</td>
<td>362.4 ± 12.5</td>
<td>14.8 ± 0.5</td>
<td>4.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>9.6 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Female</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>261.4 ± 10.8</td>
<td>12.8 ± 0.4</td>
<td>5.0 ± 0.2</td>
<td>12.9 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WKy</td>
<td>277.1 ± 6.1</td>
<td>12.7 ± 0.2</td>
<td>4.6 ± 0.1</td>
<td>12.5 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHR</td>
<td>196.4 ± 3.7</td>
<td>10.4 ± 0.2</td>
<td>5.3 ± 0.1</td>
<td>22.6 ± 2.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values represent the mean ± SEM of six animals.

1Taken from DeMoss and Wright, 1998.

* Urinary 3H-tetracycline loss, dpm/gm skeleton × 10^3.
The data suggested that the animal consuming body weight as independent of growth and maintains constant across body weight. There is an increase in the dry mass observed, independent of individual growth, and the relation was studied independently of this. The analysis of growth was examined in a relatively wide range of ages, and each group of data was plotted as the average value of each.
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\[
\text{Fig. 2. The relationship between body weight (BW) and: A) dry skeleton mass or B) skeletal development (Sd) calculated as skeleton percent of body weight. The dashed line indicates the percent of skeleton mass directly correlated with body weight. Values were taken from 24-week-old, male and female SD, WKy and SHR rats. Each point represents the group average of 6 animals. Data taken from DeMoss and Wright, 1998.}
\]

Because it is known from equation (a) that a constant 2.2% of SdT is directly correlated with body weight, we may rewrite equation (b) to indicate that SdT consists of two components:

\[
SdT = \frac{SM}{BW} \times 100 = 6.16 - 0.005 BW \quad r = -0.977, \quad P < 0.001
\]
nents; one (2.2%) directly correlated to body weight, and a second component (3.96–0.005 BW) inversely correlated to body weight.

\[ Sd_R = 2.2 + (3.96 - 0.005 \times BW) \]

**Relationship between resorption, body weight, and skeleton mass**

Fig. 3A shows that the measured tetracycline rate of whole skeletal bone resorption (R) in mature 24-week-old rats (female SHR excluded) is inversely related to body weight as described by the equation:

\[ R = 17.19 - 0.019 \times BW \quad r = -0.879, \quad P < 0.05 \] (c)

However, the skeletal development, the percentage of skeleton mass is comprised of body weight, and a second component as a function of resorptive activity.

Hence, the equation for this graph is equal to \( Sd_R \) (eq 8).

This graph further supports the hypothesis that the three components of resorptive activity are related to body weight or resorption rate (SdR; equation b) or a combination of animals from other studies.
However, the rate of bone resorption can be demonstrated to be directly correlated with skeletal development (Fig. 3B) as described by equation:

\[ Sd_R = \frac{SM}{BW} \cdot 100 = 0.25 R + 2.2 \quad r = 0.865, \quad P < 0.05 \]  

(d)

Hence, the analysis of the relationship between whole skeleton resorption and skeletal development, the percent of body weight attributable to skeletal dry mass, also indicates that skeleton mass is comprised of two components. One component may be calculated at 22% body weight, and a second component that is directly correlated with bone resorption activity (0.2R).

This equation may be further developed to indicate the relationship of this portion of skeletal development to body weight allowing a plot of Sd to indicate the contributing components as a function of body weight (Fig. 4). From equations (c) and (d):

\[ Sd_R = 0.2 \left( 17.19 - 0.19 \right) BW + 2.2 \]
\[ Sd_R = (3.44 - 0.0038 BW) + 2.2 \]  

(e)

This graph further indicates that Sd\text{r} (equation b), derived from measured Sd data, was not equal to Sd\text{R} (equation e) derived from resorption data such that:

\[ Sd_T - Sd_R = (6.16 - 0.005 BW) - (5.64 - 0.0038 BW) \]
\[ Sd_T - Sd_R = 0.52 - 0.0012 BW \]  

(f)

Fig. 4. The relationship between skeletal development and body weight in 24-week-old rats. The figure indicates three components of Sd: that directly correlated to body weight (SM\text{bw}), that calculated from whole skeleton resorptive activity (SM\text{R}), and a third component of skeletal mass not found to be directly correlated with either body weight or resorption (SM\text{U}). Lines were drawn from equations relating skeletal development to body weight (SdT; equation b) or to resorption rate (SdR; equation e). Brackets indicate the range of body weights of the groups of animals from which data were derived.
This difference may represent imprecision in the equations for SD and SDR resulting from variability in the biological data from which they are derived. Alternatively, the difference could reflect the presence of a third small component of skeletal development in the 24 week old rat that is inversely correlated with body weight but that is not correlated with whole body bone resorption. The results suggest that the skeletal mass formed and then maintained in mature rats, is in one part directly related to increasing body size (equation a) which may be dominated by mechanisms associated with mechanical strain. A second large component is independent of body weight, is inversely related to skeletal development, is directly correlated with bone resorptive activity (equation d) and may represent a reservoir for calcium homeostasis and bone remodeling. In any case, the finding that a portion of skeletal development is highly correlated with resorptive activity suggests that whole skeletal net resorption and bone formation in this latter component of skeletal mass are tightly coupled in the mature animal, most likely reflecting predominantly remodeling activity. A third small component of skeletal bone formation (equation f) appears to be inversely related with body weight, not correlated with bone resorptive activity but would be of significance only in animals at body weights below 400g (Fig. 4).

Discussion

In the past decade, Frost and collaborators (3–9) have advanced a series of concepts to explain the mechanism underlying bone shaping, size, and strength. They propose that these parameters are principally determined by two independently acting modes of osteoblast/osteoclast activity. During global modeling, independent formation and resorption drifts shape bone and serve to increase bone mass. In comparison, remodeling by basic multicellular units (BMU) of osteoclasts and osteoblasts involves a highly coordinated and sequential resorption and reformation of bone at the resorption site. Remodeling maintains bone in good repair and may serve only to conserve or reduce bone mass. It is further proposed that both modes of activity are, in turn, activated or deactivated by a sensor mechanism responsive to mechanical strain. Hence, mechanically activated modeling or remodeling represent the primary initiating event which may, in turn, be influenced by nonmechanical humoral, dietary, and other factors. This concept of interdependent obligatory mechanical and permissive nonmechanical regulatory control of bone metabolism has formed an attractive hypothesis for explaining the apparent complexity of bone metabolism in the intact animal. Hence, there is presently great interest in the mechanism(s) by which bone cell function is modulated by mechanical stimuli. For example, it has been shown that immobilization and weightlessness reduce bone mass (10,11) concurrent with decreased bone formation (12,13) and increased bone resorption (14,15). Conversely, increased mechanical strain may result in decreased resorption and increased bone formation (16–18). In a recent review of this topic, Rodan (19) concluded that the responsiveness of skeletal structure to mechanical force can only be explained in terms of: (a) an effective bone cell sensory mechanism for identification of bone matrix strain; (b) remodeling-mediated resorption of mechanically inactive bone, and; (c) unabated bone formation as long as mechanical strain is above threshold level. Hence, a feedback loop is proposed in which bone resorption and formation are coupled by the sensory mechanism for bone formation.
mechanism for detection of mechanical strain; resorption increases strain eliciting increased bone formation to reduce strain.

It has long been accepted that bone metabolic activity reflects a number of functions including; the response to mechanical strain, repair of fatigue damage, and whole body calcium homeostasis (20). In a recent study, Bouvier and Hylander (21) reported no consistent relationship between recently formed osteons and peak strain areas of the facial skeleton of the adult macaque monkey. By comparison, a positive relationship between peak strain regions and osteon density was obtained in growing, immature animals. They concluded that mechanical and metabolic factors contributed equally to bone metabolism or, alternatively, metabolically driven bone metabolism occurred without influence from strain levels in adult animals; whereas, bone metabolism was dominated by the influence of mechanical factors in the growing animal. In a second study, Erben (22) has shown that the nature of mineral metabolism of vertebral and tibial cancellous bone changes with aging in rats. He found that, in young growing animals, a major portion of bone metabolism resulted from modeling or mini-
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**Fig. 5.** Values calculated for the different components of skeletal mass (A) indicating their percentage of body weight (B) at a body weight range of 0g to 600g. Components calculated include SM_{BW}, the portion of skeleton mass directly correlated with increasing body weight; SM_{R}, the portion skeleton mass developed independently of body weight and directly correlated with bone resorptive activity, and; SM_{U}, a small component of skeleton mass not directly correlated with either body weight or resorptive activity. Total skeleton mass represents the sum of the individual components with the calculated values (▲) compared to experimentally derived data (○) taken from DeMoss and Wright, 1998.
modeling activity in which bone formation or resorption at a given site may continue uninterrupted for extended intervals, leading to net gains or losses in bone mass (23,24). By comparison, bone metabolic activity in the older rat was confined almost exclusively to remodeling in which bone formation and resorption are tightly coupled, both spatially and temporally (23,24). These studies underline the need for a means to separate bone formation into mechanically and metabolically determined components which may differ in proportion between mature and rapidly growing animals or could be expected to be affected differently by factors which promote bone formation or loss.

The present results indicate that the skeletal mass present in the rat consists of at least two major components. One component (SMBW) is highly correlated with increasing body weight and may be calculated at approximately 2.2% of body weight. We suggest that this component reflects mechanically active bone formed and maintained in direct response to structural support demands that is mediated by mechanical strain. A second component (SMR) independent of body weight, is inversely correlated with skeletal development and increases with age. This component is highly correlated with bone resorption in mature 24-week-old rats in which remodeling predominates and resorption would be expected to be highly correlated with bone formation. We suggest that this component of skeletal mass is determined or is influenced by factors other than mechanical strain.

In summary, the model presented here enables the calculation of separate components of skeletal mass (Fig. 5A) in presumably normal rats of different, genetically determined body weights at 24 weeks of age. The results indicate that only a portion of skeletal mass (SMBW) increases in direct proportion to increased body weight. A second component is independent of body weight within the weight range of animals studied. In consequence, this component is proportionately more highly developed in small versus large animals (Fig. 5B). We propose that these components represent mechanically and metabolically driven bone formation, respectively. The model may provide significant advantages as a method for evaluating different components of the skeleton in the whole animal compared to assessments among individual bones which may show metabolic and mechanical heterogeneity.
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