

REPORT OF THE C.W.F CONSTITUTION COMMITTEE

April 12, 1960

Questions arising out of Constitution Committee Meeting concerning new, revised, proposed Constitution.

1. Article 3 -- Membership

Question -- How will "congregation" delegates be elected? (Implication or thought -- in order to guarantee that C.W.F. will not be left out completely.)

Answer -- Each congregation would workout its own system. Also, Some C.W.F.'s in non cooperative churches are still cooperative. Will or could they be represented?

Answer -- Yes, on same basis as a church, so long as they send in a report to the Year Book every year.

2. Could delegates from the districts to the General Assembly be duplicated by also being delegates from a congregation?

Answer -- Yes, that could happen.

3. Page 3 -- B (1) in regard to the last sentence -- "Does the Executive Board act for the district, or does the Executive Board appoint delegates who will act for the district?"

Answer -- It will appoint delegates.

How are original delegates "set up" for 1, 2 or 3 year terms?

Answer -- That is being worked out, but has not been finished.

Should not C.W.F. have an opportunity to review means of implementation, where it affects C.W.F.? This was never satisfactorily answered.

Page 5 -- C -- Goals and Promotional Procedures. Should not the groups for organization for work -- C.W.F. C.M.F., C.Y.F. etc. also have a representative as well as affiliated agencies.

Answer -- The Constitution Drafting felt they would. (But this document does not say so.)

Page 7 -- By Laws -- (C) Executive Secretary -- To C.W.F. -- C.W.F. would like a guarantee that their State Secretary could not be taken from her work because it is now a completely full time job.

Answer -- The Committee (Drafting) felt that would never happen. But would insert "their" between the words "directing" and "specific" -- reading "directing their specific areas, etc."

Page 8 -- Article 3 -- (B) Cabinets -- What happens to C.W.F. Board as it now exists?

Answer -- It would become a Department Cabinet with advisory statics only.

C.W.F. strongly objects to the State President not having a vote on the Executive Board.

Answer -- The State President will have a voice but not a vote, just as other Department heads will not have a vote. The Drafting Committee feels a voice is more effective.

C.W.F. was never given an answer on "having a proper proportion of our funds go, as has been, to United Christian Missionary Society," or that we be given the privilege of approving articles of implementation for this document.

Constitution Drafting Committee

Mr. Jack Ervin, Chairman
Mrs. W. O. Brooks
Mr. James A. Lollis
Mr. Robert Kirkman

C.W.F. Constitution Committee

Mrs. Frank K. Sewell, Chairman
Mrs. Hiram A. Morgan
Mrs. George Parker
Mrs. O. T. Evans
Mrs. D. D. Dugan
Mrs. John L. Mains